Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendices



Water Quality Assessment of the Prairie Creek Reservoir

Prepared and submitted by Jarka Popovicova, Ph.D. December 2006

Significance of reservoir monitoring

"Every lake is a mirror of its environment" (Stumm, 2004). Lakes and reservoirs provide many valuable services that can be negatively affected by environmental changes (in the atmosphere, watershed, and groundwater) as well as human activities. While change in reservoirs and lakes through time is a natural occurrence, human activities can further accelerate it. If the causes of the changes are known, human-implemented management practices can control, or even reverse, detrimental changes in these water bodies. Consequently, field monitoring has been widely utilized to assess the status of water quality, identify emerging water quality problems, evaluate existing management practices, and to determine the effects of land use on lake and reservoir water quality (EPA, 2006). Monitoring usually results in a modification of land and water management practices within a watershed to improve or maintain quality of water and its intended uses.

In the United States, limited water quality monitoring is performed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the US Geological Survey, while major monitoring efforts are undertaken by states, local agencies, researchers, and volunteers. In the State of Indiana, monitoring of publicly owned lakes and reservoirs is performed and assessed by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) on a five-year rotating basin approach with about 1-2 basins monitored each year (IDEM, 2006). The goal of this state-wide monitoring is to evaluate the suitability of water resources to support its beneficial uses such as aquatic life, water supply, recreation and fishing, and subsequently submit this evaluation in a report to the U.S. EPA (IDEM, 2004). The results of such monitoring showed that nutrients have been the major cause of the pollution of Indiana reservoirs (EPA, 2002). Although nutrients, such as nitrogen and phosphorous, occur naturally in the environment, human activities (e.g., fertilizer use, wastewater discharge) add excessive nutrients into water sources. Persistent nutrient load to a lake or reservoir can result in unwanted growth of algae, algal blooms, overabundance of macrophytes, increased sediment accumulation rates, and eventually to depletion of dissolved oxygen from the water and fish kills (EPA, 2000). Algal growth can lead to reduced water transparency (clarity), increased turbidity, decreased concentration of dissolved oxygen required by aquatic organisms, development of undesirable taste and odor of water when the supply is used for drinking water purposes, and

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

increased cost of drinking water treatment (Jørgensen et. al 2005). These conditions may result in unsuitability of a lake or reservoir to support its beneficial and intended uses. Therefore, monitoring of a reservoir is essential if a community wants to maintain or improve its water quality and follow up with appropriate management activities to sustain its beneficial uses into the future.

Prairie Creek Reservoir Status

In Delaware County, Indiana, privately-owned Prairie Creek Reservoir serves as a secondary water supply for the City of Muncie by means of water releases into the White River during dry seasons. The reservoir also offers recreational opportunities, such as fishing, camping, swimming, and boating and for these purposes it is leased to the City of Muncie's Department of Parks and Recreation until 2021 to maintain and operate the grounds (Cescon, 1997). The future of development and land management within the reservoir's watershed beyond the year 2021 is unclear. Several stream tributaries to the reservoir drain adjoining and predominantly agricultural land. The watershed is located in a rural area where agriculture utilizes 73% of its surrounding land while 12% of the land is occupied by green space (WRWP, 2004). The reservoir is situated at the lowest point of the watershed, collecting water from its agricultural drainage ditches and small streams. The reservoir outfall is located on the north side of the reservoir and drains to the White

River (Figure 1).

The condition of any reservoir at a particular time is related to the land use within its watershed, climate, geology, human influence, and characteristics of the reservoir itself (Garn, 2003). Because of a predominantly agricultural land use in this watershed, a concern is to prevent negative effects of watershed activities through implementation of appropriate land and water management practices within the watershed and therefore to protect water quality of the reservoir. It is well known that fertilizers (used for agriculture as well as for domestic applications) designed to increase the biological productivity of agricultural soils also increase the biological productivity of waters draining these soils and contribute to lake and reservoir eutrophication (Jørgensen et. al 2005). Eutrophication, defined as increased biological production due to excessive load of nutrients, supports growth of algae and aquatic weeds in the reservoir which causes problems with water use for fisheries, recreation, industry, and drinking (Sharpley et al, 1995).

To maintain this reservoir as a valued feature in this county it is, among other things, necessary to maintain its good water quality. A limited number of studies have addressed biological water quality issues of this reservoir (Haman, 1964, Gathman, 1968, Cescon, 1997) and water quality

Appendix A

of its watershed (Goward, 2004, and WRWP, 2004). However, direct reservoir monitoring to assess its chemical water quality status was not performed. The final White River Watershed Project (WRWP) project report (WRWP, 2004) called for development of land management practices to reduce non-point source pollution within the watershed as well as continuous monitoring of the Prairie Creek Reservoir. In summary, up to 2003, historical information about the reservoir's water quality had been limited which justified the development of a more comprehensive reservoir monitoring study to gain knowledge of its water quality and thus support future land management decisions and uses of the reservoir.

The goal of this study was to assess the current water quality status of the Prairie Creek Reservoir in Delaware County, Indiana, and to initiate a long-term monitoring effort that will hopefully continue into the future. The results of this two-year study provide only a glimpse into the reservoir's water quality issues. Trends in a reservoir's water quality develop over a long period of time (e.g. 8 to 10 years) and thus it is essential that this monitoring effort continues in order to support future management decisions in this watershed.

Methods employed in the Prairie Creek Reservoir field monitoring

Seven reservoir monitoring sites, located in open waters (Figure 1.), were monitored weekly (in 2005) and bi-weekly (in 2006) for the following water quality parameters:

- pH determines acid or basic character of the water. Very low pH, usually below 5, will harm fish and other aquatic organisms. Normal lakes have a pH of 6.5 to 9. Algal growth tends to increase pH, especially during the daytime hours.
- Dissolved oxygen in water is necessary to maintain good water quality, support aquatic life ٠ (fish, insects, plants) and to maintain good aesthetic quality. Water bodies containing low levels of dissolved oxygen can be fatal to fish and other aquatic species. Additionally, water with depleted oxygen (anoxic conditions) is characteristized by its black color and unpleasant smell. Oxygen concentration in water can be reduced by decomposition of organic matter such as algae, grass clippings, dead plants or animals, animal droppings, and sewage. This organic matter is decomposed by bacteria that use dissolved oxygen to perform this natural process. The more

organic matter available to bacteria, the more dissolved oxygen will be used, leading to its

depletion.



Figure 1. Prairie Creek Reservoir - location of monitoring sites.

oxygen in water. Warm water contains less dissolved oxygen. Therefore, warm water

Appendix A

Water temperature determines survival of species by affecting concentration of dissolved



temperatures will support only those fish species that can withstand lower oxygen levels (warm water fish) and eliminate those that cannot (cold water species).

- **Transparency** (clarity) of water is measured by lowering a Secchi disk (a black and white disk) into the water and reading the depth at which this disk is disappears. Visibility or transparency of water can be negatively affected by its color, and/or the presence of algae or suspended solids. In lakes and reservoirs, the measurement of Secchi Disk transparency has been used to determine their biological quality (trophic status) and correlated with the concentration of nutrients and algae. It has been shown that with increased input of nutrients to a lake or a reservoir, Secchi disk transparency decreases as a result of increased algal growth.
- Nitrates and orthophosphates are nutrients readily available for algal growth and their excessive input to a lake/reservoir can spurt the growth of algae and eventually lead to the development of green algal mats. When these algae die, bacteria at the bottom of the lake decompose them and use up dissolved oxygen in water. This can cause depletion of dissolved oxygen, development of anoxic conditions, and even fish kills. Therefore, increased input of nutrients from the watershed can negatively affect oxygen concentrations in a reservoir and can also lead to growth of toxic algal species in a water body, negatively impacting human health.
- **Ammonia**, also a nutrient available for assimilation by algae, is produced by decomposition of ٠ organic matter, such as decomposition of algae at the bottom of a reservoir. Ammonium hydroxide is toxic to fish and its concentration increases with rising water temperature and pH, which are the conditions of the Prairie Creek reservoir in summer.
- **Chlorophyll** *a* is a measure of algal growth. Any organism that undergoes photosynthesis requires chlorophyll. Increased concentration of Chlorophyll a indicates increased algal growth.
- *E.coli* is measured to indicate and assess the presence of fecal contamination in water. Fecal waste from animal or human sources carries pathogens that are responsible for gastrointestinal and other waterborne disease. Recreational waters must comply with the state standard of 235 coliform-forming units (CFU)/100 ml to be able to sustain its recreational use and thus protect public health from waterborne diseases.
- Vertical depth profile analysis (water quality measurements from the water surface to the bottom of the reservoir) at all seven reservoir locations was performed in 2006. The profile measurements included dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature, and chlorophyll a within the entire water column. This measurement is useful in determining thermal regime of the reservoir, changes in pH, and chlorophyll as a function of depth as well as the extent of any anoxic zone

(layer with depleted concentration of dissolved oxygen) throughout the summer season that is a result of nutrient load and algal growth.

Results of the monitoring study

The results of this two-year study provide only a glimpse into Prairie Creek Reservoir's water quality issues. Trends in reservoir water quality develop over a long period of time (e.g. 8 to 10 years) and thus it is essential that this monitoring effort continues in order to support future management decisions at this watershed. Water quality at the Prairie Creek reservoir did not differ significantly between the 2005 and 2006 monitoring period. In addition, the results from seven monitored locations were not significantly different from each other for any measured water quality parameter except transparency. Results are compiled in Table 1.

measured temperature of 49.1° F. In summary, the reservoir is a warm water body – a selection as well

In general, reservoirs in temperate regions typically stratify during the summer, meaning that the upper warmer layer with uniform temperature (epilimnion) is separated from the bottom cooler layer (hypolimnion) by a layer where temperature changes significantly (thermocline). This stratification can limit mixing of a reservoir's water and create a hypolimnion with depleted or very low oxygen concentration, especially in the case of a reservoir with high input of nutrients and algal growth (eutrophic reservoirs). This can affect fisheries as some fish species will not be able to survive at low oxygen concentrations.

In the case of Prairie Creek Reservoir, the measurement of temperature profiles at its deepest location (near the release tower, measured at PCR 6) revealed that the reservoir was not completely stratified and it lacked the bottom, cooler layer. Thermal stratification began to establish itself in early June; however, it never reached three distinctive, thermally-stratified layers. as would be expected. On September 21, 2006 the reservoir temperature at its deepest point

Appendix A

• *Water Temperature:* Average annual temperature of the surface water was 74.1°F (23.4°C) in both 2005 and 2006. Summer (June 15 through September 1) average surface water temperature was 80.7 °F (27.0 °C) in 2005 and 80.0 °F (26.6 °C) in 2006. The maximum temperatures of surface water at all locations were achieved on August 9 in 2005 and on July 17 in 2006. The average bottom water temperature in 2006 (May through November) was also 74.1 °F, with a minimum characteristic which will be reflected in dissolved oxygen concentration and aquatic species

Table 1. Statistics: Average, Minimum and Maximum values measured at PCR during 2005 – 2006 monitoring period.

	Study Average [†]	Summer* 2005 average	Summer* 2006 average	Study Minimum [†]	Study Maximum [†]	Number of analyzed samples
Surface Water Temperature (°F)	74.1	80.7	80.0	52.0	86.9	247
Bottom Water Temperature (°F)	70.2	NA	74.1	49.1	80.1	115
Secchi Disk transparency (cm)	80	85	77	40	130	240
Dissolved Oxygen in surface water (mg/L)	8.8	8.0	9.3	3.1	15.2	246
pH (s.u.)	8.4	8.4	8.5	6.1	11.5	232
Chlorophyll a (µg/L)	8.1	11.5	4.9	2.0	26.2	141
Conductivity (µS/cm)	347	339	339	302	563	247
Nitrates-N (mg/L)	.38	0.24	0.26	ND	2.3	248
OrthoPhosphates- P (mg/L)	.17	0.19	0.12	ND	1.48	249
<i>E. Coli</i> (CFU/100 mL)	18	19	4	0	450	160

[†]Average is calculated from all data acquired from April 2005 through November 2006; winter data from

November through April were not collected

* Summer is defined as the period from June 15 through September 1

was uniform, suggesting a complete mixing of water at that time. This temperature regime also has an effect on concentration of dissolved oxygen within the reservoir profile.

Dissolved oxygen: Average concentration of dissolved oxygen in surface water was 8.3 mg/L in 2005 and 9.6 mg/L in 2006. This indicates a very good quality of the surface water that is easily achieved by wind mixing, a predominant characteristic of this reservoir. However, monitoring of

the reservoir profile for dissolved oxygen revealed a more serious situation: a significant portion of the depth profile was anoxic (less than 1 mg/L of dissolved oxygen) between June and September 2006 (Figure 2). During the period of anoxic conditions nutrients bound to sediment, such as phosphorous and ammonia, may be released into bottom water and encourage additional algal blooms. In other words, depletion of oxygen, that is a result of increased input of nutrients from external sources and subsequent algal growth in the reservoir, can create a situation within the reservoir where more nutrients are released from the bottom sediment to further exacerbate this situation. These low concentrations of dissolved oxygen and warm temperatures will affect fish communities in this reservoir. In addition to nutrients (such as ammonia and phosphorous), metals (such as iron, managenese) and hydrogen sulfide can also be released from the sediment during anoxic conditions which may cause taste and odor problems and negatively affect fish communities that are repelled by higher concentrations of ammonia. The condition of oxygen levels in the reservoir is a result of watershed activities (input of pollutants from agricultural, rural sources, and wastewater seepage from septic systems) that most likely have been occurring throughout the entire lifetime of this reservoir.

- depletion of dissolved oxygen.

Appendix A

• Nitrates are nutrients readily available for consumption by algae. Nitrate concentration was 0.45 mg/L in 2005 and 0.28 mg/L in 2006, respectively. This concentration is well below the current drinking water standard of 10 mg/L and therefore it does not pose any problem to public health or aquatic life. However, nitrate is an algal nutrient and can exacerbate eutrophication that leads to consequences mentioned previously, such as depleted oxygen, fish kills, taste and odor. Ammonia concentration was measured only in the 2006 monitoring season. The maximum permissible ammonia level allowed in water bodies is provided by the Indiana Administrative Code (IAC, 2000) and is dependent upon pH and temperature. For example, a sample with a pH of 8.5 and temperature of 25 °C should not exceed a concentration level of 0.2137 mg/L. Only the concentrations measured in September 2006 exceeded these allowable limits when the ammonia concentration at the surface was 0.34 mg/L at location 4 (in the center of the reservoir), and 0.24 mg/L near the release tower. This higher concentration was most likely caused by release of ammonia from the sediment during anoxia and then mixing of the entire water volume that began in September. Concentrations of ammonia in the bottom water are expected to be higher due to its production during decomposition of organic matter and



to prevent eutrophication. At Prairie Creek reservoir the average concentration of orthophosphate was 0.17 mg/L for 2005 and 0.18 mg/L for 2006, significantly higher than the either among the seven study sites or between the two monitoring years.

Orthophosphate concentration from the bottom waters was analyzed only in 2006. The average concentration of orthophosphates in bottom water was 0.33 mg/L, well above the recommended level. The concentration of orthophosphate is expected to be higher in the bottom waters because it is released from the sediment during anoxic conditions such as those that occurred from June through September (Figure 2) when dissolved oxygen concentration was less than 1 mg/L. Thus, concentration of phosphorous in this reservoir is of concern. Sources of orthophosphate and any other species of phosphorous are fertilizers used in agriculture as well as in urban and rural areas, wastewater seepage from surrounding septic systems, and soil erosion. Since the exact source cannot be identified, it is important to design proper management strategies within the watershed to control input of nutrients into the reservoir.

- feet is an indicator of eutrophic state (IDEM, 2006).

Appendix A

• Orthophosphates, a form of phosphorous, are readily available to algae for their growth and high levels of this nutrient can contribute to excessive nutrient loading and eutrophication. There is neither a drinking water nor surface water standard for phosphorus; however, levels as low as 0.005 mg/L have been found to cause eutrophication (Correll, 1998) and EPA recommends the concentration of orthophosphates not to exceed the level of 0.025 mg/L in lakes and reservoirs

recommended concentration to prevent eutrophication, which is a cause for concern. The recommended level was exceeded in 92.4% of samples. There was no statistical difference found

Secchi disk transparency (SD): Average SD transparency was 0.8 m (2.6 feet) with an average of 0.85 m in summer 2005 and 0.77 m in summer 2006. According to the EPA guidelines for Ecoregion VI that includes Midwestern areas, the SD reading should be a minimum 1.36 m (4.46 feet) (EPA 2003). Low transparency at the local reservoir in comparison to the guidelines suggests the eutrophic state of the reservoir meaning that transparency is reduced due to the presence of algae as well as sediment. According to the IDEM, a SD transparency of less than 5

The *E. coli* standard of 235 colony forming units per 100 mL for a single sample (IAC, 2000) was exceeded only in 3 samples during the two-year monitoring period; a total of 160 samples were analyzed. Because of a large dilution factor that occurs in the reservoir, the monitoring of the levels in open water, however, is not informative. The input of fecal contamination to the reservoir should be monitored at the beach area (currently performed by the Department of

Parks and Recreation) as well as in streams and ditches that drain the watershed and contribute water to the reservoir.

Conclusions

It is said that "Every lake is a mirror of its environment" (Stumm, 2004). This expression is appropriate in the case of Prairie Creek reservoir water quality, which is a mirror of its watershed activities. The reservoir is a warm eutrophic water body, meaning that the nutrient input has been the cause of algal growth and resulted in the current state of water quality: dissolved oxygen depletion within 40-60% of the reservoir depth from June through September, low water clarity, and concentrations of orthophosphates that exceed levels required to prevent eutrophication (increased biological production). Eutrophication at this reservoir has been an ongoing process and will continue into the future unless some measures are taken to manage input of nutrients from its watershed.

While this was the first study of the reservoir's water quality, the results and consequences are not to be taken lightly since it is impossible to predict the future conditions and changes in water quality. Lack of dissolved oxygen throughout 40-60% of water depth measured in 2006 can negatively affect fishing, recreation, and water supply. As uncontrolled input of nutrients to the reservoir continues, algal growth is expected to persist and even worsen, and thus affect the value and benefits of this water resource in the future. Therefore, improved management of current land use practices, wastewater disposal, and properly planned future development is absolutely necessary if the community wants to maintain the benefits of this reservoir. It is important to keep in mind that all pollutants from surrounding land are continuously drained to the reservoir either by stormwater runoff or through stream and ditches and therefore affect its water quality, and current and future uses and enjoyment.

While the reservoir itself can be managed for oxygen depletion and algal growth by various chemical methods, this strategy should be used as a last resort and watershed management upstream from the reservoir should be considered in order to deal with the consequences of eutrophication. These in-reservoir management practices only "medicate and reduce the symptoms" rather than solve the real problems, which lie within the watershed. For example, it is necessary that future development and watershed activities include management strategies that (1) reduce production of pollutants from various sources within the Prairie Creek watershed through mitigation and

the reservoir.

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007 10

Appendix A

improvement of current onsite wastewater treatment and reduction of pollutants input from tile drains; and that (2) retain pollutants upstream from the reservoir to prevent their accumulation in



References:

Cescon, C. T. 1997. The 1996 Population Dynamics of Microcrustacean Zooplankton at Prairie Creek Reservoir in Delaware County, Indiana. Thesis. Dept. of Biology, Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana.

Carlson, R.E. 1977. A trophic state index for lakes. Limnology and Oceanography 22:361-369.

Correll, David L. 1998. The Role of Phosphorus in the Eutrophication of Receiving Waters: A Review. Journal of Environmental Quality, 27 (2): 261-266.

EPA. 2000. EPA. 2000. 2000 National Water Quality Inventory. Chapter 2: Water Quality Assessments: Rivers and Streams. <u>http://www.epa.gov/305b/2000report/chp2.pdf</u>; retrieved November 2, 2004

EPA. 2003. Lake and Reservoir Bioassessment and Biocriteria: Technical Guidance Document. http://www.epa.gov/owow/monitoring/tech/chap04.html

EPA. 2006. Assessment Data for the State of Indiana Year 2002. http://iaspub.epa.gov/waters/w305b_report_v2.state?p_state=IN

Garn, H.S., J. F. Elder and D. M. Robertson. 2003. Why Study Lakes: An Overview of USGS Lake Studies in Wisconsin. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 063-03

Gathman, D.A. 1968. A Study of the Bottom Organisms on Prairie Creek Reservoir. Thesis. Dept. of Biology, Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana. 44 leaves/pages.

Goward, K. 2004. Relationship of Nutrients and Pesticides to Land Use Characteristics in Three Subwatersheds of the Upper White River, IN. Thesis. Dept. of Natural Resources and Environmental Management, Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana.

Haman, Ronald L.. 1964. A General Ecological Study of Prairie Creek Reservoir. Thesis. Dept. of Biology, Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana. 33 leaves/pages.

IAC. 2000. Indiana Administrative Code, Article 2, Water Quality Standards. http://www.in.gov/legislative/iac/title327.html

IDEM. 2000. 2000 Indiana State of the Environment Report: Water Quality. http://www.in.gov/idem/soe/2000report/water.pdf; retrieved November 2, 2004

IDEM. 2004. Indiana Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report.

IDEM. 2004. Wetlands/TMDL Section: Indiana Water Quality – 305(b) Reports. http://www.in.gov/idem/water/planbr/wqs/quality.html; retrieved November 2, 2004. IDEM. 2006. Indiana's Assessment and 303(d) Listing Methodology for Impaired Waterbodies and Total Maximum Daily Load Cycle 2006. http://www.in.gov/idem/programs/water/303d/index.html

Jørgensen et. al 2005. Lake and Reservoir Mismanagement. P.43-106. In Lake and Reservoir Management: Elsevier, B.V. Netherlands.

Sharpley et al. 1995. Phosphorous transfer from terrestrial to aquatic ecosystems. In Phosphorus in the Global Environment. Ed. H. Tiessen. John Wiley & Sons Ltd..

Stumm. 2004. Chemical Processes Regulating the Composition of Lake Waters. *In*: Lakes Handbook. Eds. O'Sullivan and Reynolds. Blackwell Publishing. Pages 79-106.

White River Watershed Project. 2004. White River Watershed Management Plan. http://www.co.delaware.in.us/watershed/Media_publications.htm; Accessed December 14, 2006

You may receive more information about the results of this study by contacting Dr. Jarka Popovicova, Assistant Professor, Ball State University; Phone: 765-741-8757; Email: jpopovicova@bsu.edu.

Appendix A



Sewage Disposal

This table shows the degree and kind of soil limitations that affect septic tank absorption fields and sewage lagoons. The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect these uses. "Not limited" indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. "Somewhat limited" indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. "Very limited" indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

"Septic tank absorption fields" are areas in which effluent from a septic tank is distributed into the soil through subsurface tiles or perforated pipe. Only that part of the soil between depths of 24 and 72 inches or between a depth of 24 inches and a restrictive layer is evaluated. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect absorption of the effluent, construction and maintenance of the system, and public health. Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat), depth to a water table, ponding, depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, and flooding affect absorption of the effluent. Stones and boulders, ice, and bedrock or a cemented pan interfere with installation. Subsidence interferes with

installation and maintenance. Excessive slope may cause lateral seepage and surfacing of the effluent in downslope areas.

Some soils are underlain by loose sand and gravel or fractured bedrock at a depth of less than 4 feet below the distribution lines. In these soils the absorption field may not adequately filter the effluent, particularly when the system is new. As a result, the ground water may become contaminated.

"Sewage lagoons" are shallow ponds constructed to hold sewage while aerobic bacteria decompose the solid and liquid wastes. Lagoons should have a nearly level floor surrounded by cut slopes or embankments of compacted soil. Nearly impervious soil material for the lagoon floor and sides is required to minimize seepage and contamination of ground water. Considered in the ratings are slope, saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat), depth to a water table, ponding, depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, flooding, large stones, and content of organic matter.

Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) is a critical property affecting the suitability for sewage lagoons. Most porous soils eventually become sealed when they are used as sites for sewage lagoons. Until sealing occurs, however, the hazard of pollution is severe. Soils that have a Ksat rate of more than 14 micrometers per second are too porous for the proper functioning of sewage lagoons. In these soils, seepage of the effluent can result in contamination of the ground water. Ground-water contamination is also a hazard if fractured bedrock is within a depth of 40 inches, if the water table is high enough

to raise the level of sewage in the lagoon, or if floodwater overtops the lagoon.

A high content of organic matter is detrimental to proper functioning of the lagoon because it inhibits aerobic activity. Slope, bedrock, and cemented pans can cause construction problems, and large stones can hinder compaction of the lagoon floor. If the lagoon is to be uniformly deep throughout, the slope must be gentle enough and the soil material must be thick enough over bedrock or a cemented pan to make land smoothing practical.

Information in this table is intended for land use planning, for evaluating land use alternatives, and for planning site investigations prior to design and construction. The information, however, has limitations. For example, estimates and other data generally apply only to that part of the soil between the surface and a depth of 5 to 7 feet. Because of the map scale, small areas of different soils may be included within the mapped areas of a specific soil.

The information is not site specific and does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation of the soils or for testing and analysis by personnel experienced in the design and construction of engineering works.

Government ordinances and regulations that restrict certain land uses or impose specific design criteria were not considered in preparing the information in this table. Local ordinances and regulations should be considered in planning, in site selection, and in design.





Sewage Disposal

Delaware County, Indiana

[The information in this table indicates the dominant soil condition but does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The large the value, the greater the potential limitation. The table shows only the top five limitations for any given soil. The soil may have additional limitations.]

	Pct. of	Septic tank absorption	fields	Sewage lagoons	
Map symbol and	map	Rating class and		Rating class and	
soil name	unit	limiting features	Value	limiting features	Value
BdlC2:					
Belmore	75	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slope	0.04	Slope	1.00
BdmA:					
Belmore	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
BdmB2:					
Belmore	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
				Slope	0.08
BdsAN:					
Benadum,	80	Very limited		Very limited	
drained		Slow water movement	1.00	Ponding	1.00
		Ponding	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
				Organic matter content	1.00
BdsAU:					
Benadum,	85	Very limited		Very limited	
undrained		Slow water movement	1.00	Ponding	1.00
		Ponding	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
				Organic matter content	1.00
BltA:					
Blount	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Slow water movement	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00		
CdgC3:					
Casca	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slope	0.37	Slope	1.00

	Pct. of	Septic tank absorption	fields	Sewage lagoons	
Map symbol and	map	Rating class and		Rating class and	
soil name	unit	limiting features	Value	limiting features	Value
CudA:					
Crosby	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Slow water movement	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Seepage	0.53
DdxA:					
Digby	45	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		
Haney	40	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		
EdxA:					
Eldean	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.72		
EdxB2:					
Eldean	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46	Slope	0.32
EdxC2:					
Eldean	75	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46	Slope	1.00
		Slope	0.04		
EdxD2:					
Eldean	75	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Slope	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		
EdxE2:					
Eldean	75	Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendix B



	Pct. of	Septic tank absorption	fields	Sewage lagoons	
Map symbol and	map	Rating class and		Rating class and	
soil name	unit	limiting features	Value	limiting features	Value
FexB2:					
Fox	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46	Slope	1.00
FexC2:					
Fox	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46	Slope	1.00
		Slope	0.04		
GinAH:					
Gessie	50	Very limited		Very limited	
		Flooding	1.00	Flooding	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		
Eel	35	Very limited		Very limited	
		Flooding	1.00	Flooding	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		
HtbAU:					
Houghton,	75	Very limited		Very limited	
undrained		Ponding	1.00	Flooding	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Subsidence	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00		
LneAW:					
Lickcreek	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Flooding	1.00	Flooding	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
LshC3:					
Losantville	85	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Flooding	1.00
		Slope	0.00	Seepage	1.00
LshD3:					
Losantville	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Slope	0.84	Depth to saturated zone	1.00

	Pct. of	Septic tank absorption	fields	Sewage lagoons	
Map symbol and	map	Rating class and		Rating class and	
soil name	unit	limiting features	Value	limiting features	Valu
MecA:					
Martinsville	80	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Slow water movement	0.46	Seepage	0.53
MecB:					
Martinsville	80	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Slow water movement	0.46	Seepage	0.53
				Slope	0.32
MoeB2:					
Miamiam	80	Very limited		Somewhat limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	0.19
		Slow water movement	1.00	Slope	0.08
MoeC2:					
Miamian	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Slow water movement	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	0.19
		Slope	0.00		
MorA:					
Milford	75	Very limited		Very limited	
		Ponding	1.00	Ponding	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Slow water movement	1.00	Seepage	0.5
MphA:					
Milford	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Ponding	1.00	Ponding	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Slow water movement	1.00	Seepage	0.53
MryA:					
Millgrove	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Ponding	1.00	Ponding	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		
MvxA:					
Mountpleasant	80	Very limited		Not limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00		
		Slow water movement	1.00		



Appendix B



	Pct. of	Septic tank absorption	fields	Sewage lagoons	
Map symbol and	map	Rating class and		Rating class and	
soil name	unit	limiting features	Value	limiting features	Value
MvxB2:					
Mountpleasant	80	Very limited		Somewhat limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Slope	0.32
		Slow water movement	1.00		
MvxC2:					
Mountpleasant	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Slow water movement	1.00		
		Slope	0.04		
MwzAU:					
Muskego,	75	Very limited		Very limited	
undrained		Slow water movement	1.00	Ponding	1.00
		Ponding	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Subsidence	1.00	Organic matter content	1.00
ObxA:					
Ockley	85	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		
PgaA:					
Pella	75	Very limited		Very limited	
		Ponding	1.00	Ponding	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46	Seepage	0.53
ReyA:					
Rensselaer	85	Very limited		Very limited	
		Ponding	1.00	Ponding	1.00
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
D 411		Slow water movement	0.46	Seepage	0.53
RroAH:	F 0	T 7 1' ' 1		X7 1' ' 1	
Ross	50	Very limited	4.00	Very limited	4.00
		Flooding	1.00	Flooding	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.46		
T 1	25	Depth to saturated zone	0.43	X 7 1' ' 1	
Lash	35	Very limited	1.00	Very limited	1.00
		Flooding	1.00	Flooding	1.00
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00

	Pct. of	Septic tank absorption	fields	Sewage lagoons		
Map symbol and	map Rating class and			Rating class and		
soil name	unit	limiting features	Value	limiting features	Value	
SgmAH:						
Shoals	80	Very limited		Very limited		
		Flooding	1.00	Flooding	1.00	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00	
		Slow water movement	0.46			
SmsAH:						
Sloan	80	Very limited		Very limited		
		Flooding	1.00	Ponding	1.00	
		Ponding	1.00	Flooding	1.00	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00	
		Slow water movement	0.72	Seepage	0.53	
SnlA:						
Southwest	80	Very limited		Very limited		
		Ponding	1.00	Ponding	1.00	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00	
		Slow water movement	1.00	Seepage	0.53	
SvsE2:						
Strawn	45	Very limited		Very limited		
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	
		Slow water movement	0.46	Seepage	0.53	
Belmore	30	Very limited		Very limited		
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00	
SvsG:						
Strawn	45	Very limited		Very limited		
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	
		Slow water movement	0.46	Seepage	0.53	
Belmore	30	Very limited		Very limited		
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	
		Seepage, bottom layer	1.00	Seepage	1.00	
ThrA:						
Treaty	80	Very limited		Very limited		
		Ponding	1.00	Ponding	1.00	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00	
		Slow water movement	1.00	Seepage	0.53	

5%

Appendix B



	Pct. of	Septic	tank absorption	fields	Sewage lagoons	
Map symbol and	map	1	ing class and		Rating class and	
soil name	unit	lim	iting features	Value	limiting features	Value
Uam:						
Udorthents	80	Very lim	ited		Not limited	
		Slow v	vater movement	1.00		
		Depth	to saturated zone	0.94		
Ucu:						
Udorthents	80	Very lim	ited		Very limited	
		Filterin	Filtering capacity 1.00		Seepage	1.00
		Seepag	ge, bottom layer	1.00	Slope	0.08
W:						
Water	100	Not rate	d		Not rated	
Т	his report s	shows only	the major soils in each	map uni	it. Others may exist.	
USDA Natural	l Resour	ces	Tabu	ılar Dat	ta Version: 4	
Conser	vation S	ervice				

GRANK G4G5 G2T2 G4G5 G4G5 G2T2 G3 G4G5 G3 G4G5 G2 G5 G5 G5 G1G2 FED SRANK U * H * * * * U * STATE SLIPPERSHELL MUSSEL NORTHERN RIFFLESHELL WAVY-RAYED LAMPMUSSEL CLUB SHELL OHIO PIGTOE KIDNEYSHELL PURPLE LILLIPUT LILLIPUT RAYED BEAN COMMON NAME EPIOBLASMA TORULOSA RANGIANA LAMPSILIS FASCIOLA PLEUROBEMA CLAVA MOLLUSCA: BIVALVIA (MUSSELS) Alasmidonta viridis PLEUROBEMA CORDATUM PTCHOBRANCHUS FASCIOLARIS TOXOLASMA LIVIDUS TOXOLASMA PARVUM VILLOSA FABALIS Novenber 22, 2005 SPECIES NAME

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Indiana County Endangered, Threatened And Rare Species List County: Delaware

REPTILES CLEMMYS GUTTATA	SPOTTED TURTLE	SE	* * S2	Ċ	10
CLONOPHIS KIRTLANDII	KIRTLAND'S SNAKE	SE	** S2	G2	2
EMYDOIDEA BLANDINGII	BLANDING'S TURTLE	SE	** S2		4
SISTRURUS CATENATUS CATENATUS	EASTERN MASSASAUGA	SE	C S2		G3G4T3T4
THAMNOPHIS BUTLERI	BUTLER'S GARTER SNAKE	SE	** S1	G4	4
BIRDS					
ARDEA HERODIAS	GREAT BLUE HERON	* *	** S4B		2
BOTAURUS LENTIGINOSUS	AMERICAN BITTERN	SE	** S2B	B G4	4
LANIUS LUDOVICIANUS	LOGGERHEAD SHRIKE	SE	** S3B		4
NYCTANASSA VIOLACEA	YELLOW-CROWNED NIGHT-HERON	SE	** S2B	B G5	2
NYCTICORAX NYCTICORAX	BLACK-CROWNED NIGHT-HERON	SE	** S1B		2
RALLUS ELEGANS	KING RAIL	SE	** S1B		4
MAMMALS					
LYNX RUFUS	BOBCAT	*	** S1	9	2
WYOTIS SODALIS	INDIANA BAT OR SOCIAL MYOTIS	LE	LE S1	G2	5
TAXIDEA TAXUS	AMERICAN BADGER	× ×	* * S2	G5	10

Appendix C

ATEN

VASCULAR PLANT CAREX ALOPECOIDEA GLYCERIA BOREALIS MATTEUCCIA STRUTHIOPTERIS SILENE REGIA TRICHOSTEMA DICHOTOMUM TRIFOLIUM STOLONIFERUM VALERIANELLA CHENOPODIIFOLIA WISTERIA MACROSTACHYA	FOXTAIL SEDGE SMALL FLOATING MANNA-GRASS OSTRICH FERN ROYAL CATCHFLY FORKED BLUECURL RUNNING BUFFALO CLOVER GOOSE-FOOT CORN-SALAD KENTUCKY WISTERIA	SE ST ST SE	<pre>x * * x * x * x * x * x * x * x * x * x</pre>	81 82 82 82 82 83 83 83 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 83 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85
HIGH QUAILTY NATURAL COMMUNITY FOREST - FLATWOODS CENTRAL TILL PLAIN	CENTRAL TILL PLAIN FLATWOODS	SG	* * \$	S2 G3
STATE: SE=state endangered; ST=state threatened; SR=state rare; SSC=state species of special concern; SX=state extirpated; SG=state significant; WL=watch list; ** no status but rarity warrants concern	:; SSC=state species of special concern; SX=sta	ate extirpate	ed; SG=s	tate significant;
FEDERAL: LE=Endangered; LT=Threatened; C= canidate; PDL= _I	C= canidate; PDL= proposed for delisting; * *=not listed			
SRANK: State Heritage Rank: S1= critically imperiled in state; S2= imperiled in state; S3= rare or uncommon in state; G4= widespread and abundant in state but with long term concern; SG= state significant; SH= historical in state; SX= state extirpated; B= breeding status; S?= unranked; SNR= unranked; SNA= nonbreeding status unranked	3= rare or uncommon in state; G4= widespreac K= state extirpated; B= breeding status; S?= un	d and abunc ıranked; SN	dant in str IR= unra	ıte but with long term ıked; SNA=
GRANK: Glogal Heritage Rank: G1= critically imperiled globally; G2= imperiled globally; G3= rare or uncommon globally; G4= widespread and abundant globally but with long term concern; G5= widespread and adundant globally; G?= unranked; GX= extinct; Q= uncertain rank; T= taxonomic subunit rank	2= imperiled globally; G3= rare or uncommon globally; G4= widespread and abundant globally b adundant globally; G?= unranked; GX= extinct; Q= uncertain rank; T= taxonomic subunit rank	read and al ; T= taxon	bundant g omic subı	dobally but with long Init rank
Indiana Natural Heritage Data Center Division of Nature Preserves Indiana Department of Natural resources This data is not the result of comprehensive county survey.	Ň			

Conservation Practices from NRCS FOTG

Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline, Alumi Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline, High-D Plastic Irrigation Water Conveyance - Pipeline, Low-F Plastic Irrigation Water Management Open Channel Pumping Plant Spoil Spreading Spring Development Structure for Water Control Subsurface Drain Subsurface Drainage - Field Ditch Surface Drainage - Main or Lateral Underground Outlet

Land Reclamation

Practice

Diversion

Dike

Drainage/Water Quantity Mgmt

Clearing and Snagging

Drainage Water Mgmt

Grade Stabilization Structure Irrigation Regulating Reservoir Irrigation Storage Reservoir Irrigation System Sprinkler

Irrigation System - Micro-irrigation

Irrigation System - Suface and Subsurface

Landslide Treatment	453	
Toxic Discharge Control	455	
Abandoned Mined Land	543	
Currently Mined Land	544	
Land Smoothing	466	
Mine Shaft and Adit Closing	457	

Livestock

Animal Mortality Facility
Aquaculture Fishponds
Fence

Appendix C

Appendix D

Standard Notes

	326	
	356	
	362	
	554	
	410	
	552	
	436	
	442	
	441	
	443	
inum Tubing	430AA	
Pressure, Underground,	430DD	
Pressure, Underground,	430EE	
	449	
	582	
	533	
	572	
	574	
	587	
	606	
	607	
	608	
	620	

Conservation Practices from NRCS FOTG

Standard Notes Practice Livestock, continued Forage Harvest Mgmt 511 512 Pasture and Hay Planting Pipeline 516 Stream Crossing 578 Use Exclusion 472

Nutrient/Pest Mgmt

Agrichemcial Handling Facility	702	
Nutrient Management	590	
Pesticide Management	595	
Salinity and Sodic Soil Mgmt	610	

Plant Community Management

Forest Stand Improvement	666	
Forest Trails and Landings	655	
Prescribed Burning	338	
Prescribed Grazing	528	
Tree/shrub Establishment	612	
Tree/shrub Pruning	660	

Recreation

Recreation Area Improvement	562	
Recreation Land Grading and Shaping	566	
Recreation Trail and Walkway	568	

Soil Conservation (Erosion)

Conservation Crop Rotation	328	
Contour Buffer Strips	332	
Contour Farming	330	
Cover Crop	340	
Critical Area Planting	342	Set-aside
Cross-wind Trap Strips	589C	
Diversion	362	
Field Border	386	
Grassed Waterway	412	
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	
Mulching	484	
Stripcropping	585	



Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Conservation Practices from NRCS FOTG

Practice	Standard	Notes
Soil Conservation (Erosion)		
Terrace	600	
Use Exclusion	472	
Surface Water Protection/Mgmt		
Access Road	560	
Constructed wetland	656	
Filter Strip	393	
Fish Pond Management	399	
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	
Lined Waterway or Outlet	468	
Pond	378	
Pond Sealing/Lining, Bentonite Sealant	521C	
Pond Sealing/Lining, Flexible Membrane	521A	
Pond Sealing/Lining, Soil Dispersant	521B	
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	
Roof Runoff Structure	558	
Runoff Mgmt System	570	
Sediment Basin	350	
Stream Channel Stabilization	584	
Stream Crossing	578	
Stream Habitat Improvement/Mgmt	395	
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	
Use Exclusion	472	
Wastewater Treatment Strip	635	
Water and Sediment Control Basin	638	
Watering Facility	614	
Well Decommissioning	351	
Wetland Creation	658	
Wetland Enhancement	659	
Wetland Restoration	657	

Tillage

Mulch Till
No Till/Strip Till/Direct Seed
Ridge Till
Seasonal Residue Mgmt

Appendix D

645	
329	
329C	
344	

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

ATT -

Conservation Practices from NRCS FOTG

Practice

Standard Notes

Waste Management		
Closure of Waste Impoundments	360	
Composting Facility	317	
Comprehensive Nutrient Management Planning		
Manure Transfer	634	
Waste Storage Facility	313	
Waste Treatment Lagoon	359	
Waste Utilization	633	
Wastewater Treatment Strip	635	

Wildlife

Conservation Cover	327
Conservation Crop Rotation	328
Cover Crop	340
Early Successional Habitat Development	647
Field Border	386
Forest Stand Improvement	666
Hedgerow Planting	422
Restoration and Mgmt of Declining Habitats	643
Shallow Water Mgmt for Wildlife	646
Stream Habitat Improvement/Mgmt	395
Upland Wildlife Habitat Mgmt	645
Wetland Wildlife Habaitat Mgmt	644
Wildlife Watering Facility	648

Note: the following practices were excluded from this list

Cultural Resources Archival Research Cultural Resources Evaluations Cultural Resources Identification Surveys Dry Hydrant Firebreak Seed Calculator Water Well Windbreak



CONSERVATION PRACTICE STANDARD

DEFINITION

A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation situated between cropland, grazing land, or disturbed land (including forest land) and environmentally sensitive areas.

PURPOSES

- 1. To reduce sediment, particulate organic matter, and sediment adsorbed contaminant loading in runoff.
- 2. To reduce dissolved contaminant loading in runoff.
- 3. To reduce sediment, particulate organic matter, and sediment adsorbed contaminant loading in surface irrigation tailwater.
- 4. To serve as Zone 3 of a Riparian Forest Buffer. Practice Standard 391.
- 5. To restore, create or enhance herbaceous habitat for wildlife and beneficial insects.
- 6. To maintain or enhance watershed functions and values.

CONDITIONS WHERE PRACTICE APPLIES

This practice applies (1) in areas situated below cropland, grazing land, or disturbed land (including forest land) (2) where sediment, particulate organic matter and/or dissolved contaminants may leave these areas and are entering environmentally sensitive areas; (3) in areas where permanent vegetative establishment is needed to enhance wildlife and beneficial

Conservation practice standards are reviewed periodically, and updated if needed. To obtain the current version of this standard, contact the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Appendix E 393 - 1

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

Filter Strip

(Acre)

Code 393

insects, or maintain or enhance watershed function. This practice applies when planned as part of a conservation management system.

This practice does not apply to areas subject to long duration flooding, typically greater than 45 days during spring or summer. Sites where it is historically difficult to maintain a stand of perennial grasses or legumes due to frequency or timing of flooding should be planned for a riparian buffer.

CRITERIA

General criteria applicable to all purposes

Filter strips shall be designated as vegetated areas to treat runoff and are not part of the adjacent cropland rotation.

Overland flow entering the filter strip shall be primarily sheet flow. Concentrated flow shall be dispersed by grading or shaping to assure sheet flow.

Prevent erosion where filter strips outlet into streams or channels

Do not use the filter strip as a roadway.

Filter strip establishment shall comply with local, state and federal regulations.

Additional criteria to reduce sediment, particulate organic matter, and sediment adsorbed contaminant loading in runoff

Appendix E

The minimum flow length for this purpose shall be 20 feet. Flow length may be increased to meet other resource needs.

Filter strip location requirements:

The filter strip shall be located along the downslope edge of a field or disturbed area. The average watershed slope above the filter strip shall be greater than 0.5% but less than 10%.

The average annual sheet and rill erosion rate above the filter strip shall be less than 10 tons per acre per year.

The filter strip shall be established to permanent herbaceous vegetation consisting of a single species or a mixture of grasses, legumes and/or other forbs adapted to the soil, climate, and nutrients, chemicals, and practices used in the current management system.

For herbaceous cover establishment, refer to Table 1 for Purposes 1, 2, and 3 and Table 2 for Purposes 4, 5, and 6.

Additional criteria to reduce dissolved contaminants in runoff

This criteria supplements "Additional criteria to reduce sediment, particulate organic matter, and sediment adsorbed contaminant loading in runoff".

Filter strip flow length required to reduce dissolved contaminants in runoff shall be based on management objectives, contaminants of concern, and the volume of runoff from the filter strip's drainage area compared with the filter strip's area and infiltration capacity.

The flow length determined for this purpose shall be in addition to the flow length determined for reducing sediment, particulate organic matter, and sediment adsorbed contaminant loading in runoff. The minimum flow length for this purpose shall be 30 feet. Flow length may be increased to meet other resource needs.

Additional criteria to serve as Zone 3 of a Riparian Forest Buffer, Practice Standard 391

Except for the location requirements, the criteria

2 given in "Additional criteria to reduce sediment, particulate organic matter, and sediment adsorbed contaminant loading in runoff" also apply to this purpose.

If concentrated flows entering Zone 3 are greater than the filter strip's ability to disperse them, other means of dispersal, such as spreading devices, must be incorporated.

Additional criteria to reduce sediment, particulate organic matter, and sediment adsorbed contaminant loading in surface irrigation tailwater

Filter strip vegetation may be a small grain or other suitable annual with a plant spacing that does not exceed 4 inches.

Filter strips shall be established early enough prior to the irrigation season so that the vegetation can withstand sediment deposition from the first irrigation.

The flow length shall be based on management objectives.

Additional criteria to restore, create, or enhance herbaceous habitat for wildlife and beneficial insects

If this purpose is intended in combination with one or more of the previous purposes, then the minimum criteria for the previous purpose(s) must be met. Additional filter strip flow length devoted to this purpose must be added to the length required for the other purpose(s).

Any addition to the flow length for wildlife or beneficial insects shall be added to the downhill slope of the filter strip. Vegetation to enhance wildlife may be added to that portion of the filter strip devoted to other purposes to the extent they do not detract from its primary functions.

Plant species selected for this purpose should be selected from Table 2 for permanent vegetation adapted to the wildlife or beneficial insect population(s) targeted.

If this is the only purpose, filter strip width and length shall be based on requirements of the targeted wildlife or insects. Density of the

vegetative stand established for this purpose shall consider targeted wildlife habitat requirements and encourage plant diversity. Dispersed woody vegetation shall be used to the extent it does not interfere with herbaceous vegetative growth, or operation and maintenance of the filter strip.

The filter strip shall not be mowed during the nesting season of the target wildlife.

Livestock and vehicular traffic in the filter strip shall be excluded during the nesting season of the target species.

Instructions: Select one grass mix according to the purpose and add one legume at the rate indicated or two legumes at half the rate. Forbs can be added if desired for extra wildlife benefits.

Table 1. Seeding Mixtures for Purposes 1 to 3.

Grass Mix	Rate (lbs/PLS*/Ac)	Seeding Dates
Switchgrass ^{1/} Redtop	8 0.5	Frost Seed ^{2/} April 15 to June 1
Orchardgrass Low Endophyte Tall Fescue	5 10	March 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15
Orchardgrass Timothy	8 1	March 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15
Orchardgrass Redtop	6 2	March 1 to May 1
Tall Fescue	25	March 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15
Smooth Brome	40	February 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15

¹ Use 20 foot cool season grass (CSG) strip on the side with highest contaminant load except where filter strip will be shaded. $^{2/}$ Frost seed by broadcasting switchgrass into thin wheat nurse crop, bean stubble, or disturbed corn stalks. Frost seeding should be completed by February 20th south of US 40 and by March 15th north of US 40 to assure adequate soil heaving for good seed to soil

contact

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendix E

Additional criteria to maintain or enhance watershed functions and values

Filter strips shall be strategically located to enhance connectivity of corridors and noncultivated patches of vegetation within the watershed.

Filter strips shall be strategically located to enhance aesthetics of the watershed.

Plant species selected for this purpose shall be for establishment of permanent vegetation.

SEEDING MIXTURES FOR FILTER STRIPS



3

Appendix E

Legumes	Rate (lbs/PLS*/Ac)	Seeding Dates
Annual Lespedeza ^{1/}	4	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1
Red Clover	4	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1 August 1 to September 1
Alsike Clover	1.5	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1 August 1 to September 1
Ladino Clover	1	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1 August 1 to September 1

^{1/} South of US 40, can be used with either warm season grasses (WSG's) or CSG's.

 $^{2/}$ Frost seed by broadcasting legumes into thin wheat nurse crop, bean stubble, or disturbed corn stalks. Frost seeding should be completed by February 20th south of US 40 and by March 15th north of US 40 to assure adequate soil heaving for good seed to soil contact.

Table 2.	Seeding	Mixtures fo	or Purposes	4	to	6.

Grass Mix	Rate (lbs/PLS*/Ac)	Seeding Dates
Switchgrass	5	Frost Seed ^{2/} April 15 to June 1
Smooth Brome Timothy	10 1	February 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15
Switchgrass Redtop	3 0.5	Frost Seed ^{2/} April 15 to June 1
Orchardgrass Timothy	4 0.5	March 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15
Orchardgrass Redtop	4 0.5	March 1 to May 1
Orchardgrass Kentucky Bluegrass	4 1	March 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15
Orchardgrass Virginia Wildrye	4 4	March 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15
Orchardgrass Timothy Redtop	3 0.5 0.5	March 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 15
Little Bluestem ^{1/}	6	April 15 to June 1
Little Bluestem ^{1/} Sideoats Grama	4 1.5	April 15 to June 1

^{1/} These seeding mixtures have a flooding tolerance of three days or less.

 $^{2/}$ Frost seed by broadcasting switchgrass into thin wheat nurse crop, bean stubble, or disturbed corn stalks. Frost seeding should be completed by February 20th south of US 40 and by March 15th north of US 40 to assure adequate soil heaving for good seed to soil contact.



Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

4

Legumes	Rate (lbs/PLS*/Ac)	Seeding Dates
Annual Lespedeza ^{1/}	4	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1
Red Clover	4	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1 August 1 to September 1
Alsike Clover	1.5	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1 August 1 to September 1
Ladino Clover	1	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1 August 1 to September 1
Sweet Clover	4	Frost Seed ^{2/} March 15 to May 1
Alfalfa	5	March 1 to May 1 August 1 to September 1

^{1/} South of US 40, can be used with either WSG's or CSG's.

^{2/} Frost seed by broadcasting legumes into thin wheat nurse crop, bean stubble, or disturbed corn stalks. Frost seeding should be completed by February 20th south of US 40 and by March 15th north of US 40 to assure adequate soil heaving for good seed to soil contact.

*To figure percent Pure Live Seed (PLS) rates, multiply the percent purity by the percent germination. Divide the seeding rate by the %PLS to find the bulk seed needed per acre. Example: 98% Purity X 60% Germination = .588 PLS, 10 pounds seed per acre/.588 PLS = 17 pounds of bulk seed per acre.

CONSIDERATIONS

Determine landowner's objectives.

Establish filter strips as a component of an overall conservation management system.

Evaluate the type and quantity of pollutant(s).

Determine soil types and slopes.

Estimate average ground water depth.

Determine noxious weed pressure.

Determine fire hazard and other special needs.

Filtering benefits are generally maximized within a 100-foot flow length.

Filter strips established on slopes less than 5 percent are most effective. Steeper slopes

Appendix E

5

require a greater area and width. Filter strips may lose significant effectiveness on slopes greater than 10 percent.

Filter strips should be strategically located to reduce runoff, and increase infiltration and ground water recharge throughout the watershed.

Filter strips for the single purposes of wildlife/beneficial insect habitat or to enhance watershed function should be strategically located to intercept contaminants thereby enhancing the water quality of the watershed.

To avoid damage to the filter strip consider using vegetation that is somewhat tolerant to herbicides used in the watershed. Check recent herbicide use for possible carryover.

Consider using this practice to enhance the conservation of declining species of wildlife,

Appendix E

including those that are threatened or endangered.

Consider using this practice to protect National Register listed or eligible (significant) archaeological and traditional cultural properties from potential damaging contaminants.

Filter strip size should be adjusted to a greater flow length to accommodate harvest and maintenance equipment.

Preferred seeding method for Purposes 1 - 3: Broadcast the seed after tilling and culti-packing twice. The seed should be packed in with another pass of the culti-packer. A brillion seeder or similar implement would also be acceptable. A drill, no-till or conventional, is acceptable but not preferred. Drills have 5" to 10" of space between the rows. Grass stands thus established may not be as effective in filtering as those established by broadcast methods or with a brillion type seeder.

A warm season grass drill is the preferred method for establishing warm season grasses for any of the purposes. It is designed to seed the light, fluffy warm season grass seed. Broadcasting warm season grasses often results in failure as the seeds may be planted too deep. (Switchgrass is an exception. It may be seeded with conventional equipment or may be broadcast.)

A no-till or conventional drill is an acceptable method of seeding for Purposes 4 - 6.

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Based on this standard, plans and specifications shall be prepared for each specific field site where a filter strip will be installed. A plan includes information about the location, construction sequence, vegetation establishment, and management and maintenance requirements.

Specifications will include:

1. Length, width, and slope of the filter strip to accomplish the planned purpose (length refers to flow length across the filter strip).

2. Species selection and seeding or sprigging rates to accomplish the planned purpose.

6

- 3. Planting dates, care, and handling of the seed to ensure that planted materials have an acceptable rate of survival.
- 4. A statement that only viable, high quality, and regionally adapted seed will be used.
- 5. Site preparation sufficient to establish and grow selected species.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

For the purposes of filtering contaminants, permanent filter strip vegetative plantings should be harvested as appropriate to encourage dense growth, maintain an upright growth habit, and remove nutrients and other contaminants that are contained in the plant tissue. Warm season grasses should not be mowed closer than 10 inches and cool season grasses should not be mowed closer than 6 inches.

Control undesired weed species, especially statelisted noxious weeds.

Prescribed burning may be used to manage and maintain the filter strip when an approved burn plan has been developed.

Inspect the filter strip after storm events and repair any gullies that have formed, remove unevenly deposited sediment accumulation that will disrupt sheet flow, re-seed disturbed areas, and take other measures to prevent concentrated flow through the filter strip.

Apply supplemental nutrients only as needed to maintain the desired species composition and stand density of the filter strip.

To maintain or restore the filter strip's function, periodically re-grade the filter strip area when sediment deposition at the filter strip-field interface jeopardizes its function, and then reestablish the filter strip vegetation, if needed. If wildlife habitat is a purpose, destruction of vegetation within the portion of the strip devoted to that purpose should be minimized by regrading only to the extent needed to remove sediment and fill concentrated flow areas.

Grazing shall not be permitted in the filter strip unless a controlled grazing system is being implemented. Grazing will be permitted under a controlled grazing system only when soil moisture conditions support livestock traffic without excessive compaction. Warm season

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendix E

7

grasses should not be grazed closer than 10 inches and cool season grasses should not be grazed closer than 6 inches.

Redistribute organic wastes that accumulate in the filter strip to minimize damage to the vegetation.



S.W.O.T. ANALYSIS OF PRAIRIE CREEK RESERVOIR FROM THE STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING ON 1-30-06

STRENGTHS

- 1. Greenspace
- 2. Recreation
- 3. Public accessibility
- 4. Good water quality/clean water
- 5. Park area well maintained
- 6. Aesthetics
- 7. Undeveloped areas i.e. still large amounts of wide open spaces
- 8. Unpolluted by industry and noise
- 9. Free access (as in no cost)
- 10. Location/Close proximity to large population i.e. Muncie short travel time
- 11. Unique community amenity/facility
- 12. Large water body
- 13. Good public management/maintenance
- 14. Family oriented destination
- 15. Economical recreation
- 16. Buffered area around the water
- 17. Wildlife habitats; particularly for endangered wildlife
- 18. Close to greenway
- 19. Diverse activities in a small geographic area
- 20. Agricultural area
- 21. Lack of commercialization/ overdevelopment
- 22. Naturalized setting

WEAKNESSES

- 1. Traffic
- 2. Potential crime area/illegal dumping
- 3. Infrastructure
- 4. Handicap accessibility
- 5. Canada geese
- 6. Erosion
- 7. Pollution/trash
- 8. Dead fish
- 9. Campground's appearance
- 10. Failing Septic on-site disposal systems
- 11. Not enough amenities i.e. crowded on nice days
- 12. Uncertain future ownership
- 13. Off-road area i.e. erosion and noise
- 14. Motorized (gas) boats
- 15. Amount/types of recreation i.e. could degrade drinking water quality
- 16. Under utilized i.e. for education purposes
- 17. Not well advertised; not enough visibility or marketing
- 18. Minimal setbacks on some residential development
- 19. No recreational access on west side
- 20. Indirect routing to access facility
- 21. Lack of facility signage and direction signage
- 22. Inadequate pedestrian facilities
- 23. Agricultural encroachment
- 24. Only 1 boat rental place
- 25. Swimming limitations
- 26. Trash disposal
- 27. Run off; pesticides and fertilizers
- 28. Lack of organization; groups or lake associations

OPPORTUNITIES

- 1. Educational/Outdoor lab
- 2. First class natural recreational complex
- 3. Expand park area/more recreational services
- 4. BMP laboratory sites
- 5. Waterfront restoration
- 6. Residential
- 7. Commercial
- 8. Infrastructure
- 9. Increase Tax Base
- 10. Tourism/Eco-tourism to improve economic 9. Shore bank erosion development 10. Recreation "pressure"
- 11. More developed/better kept trail system; potential for loop hiking trail
- 12. Enhance natural areas; take weeds out of lake
- 13. Fish stocking
- 14. More conservation easements
- 15. Nature Preserve State Park
- 16. Non-traditional/innovative residential development
- 17. Public/Private partnerships in development i.e. PUD's
- 18. Organic farming and family farming-less corporate farming

Appendix F

THREATS

- 1. Water pollution/Increased contamination
- 2. Uncontrolled/unstemmed encroachment
- from development
- 3. Dam failure
- 4. Drought/heat waves
- 5. Non-renewal of park lease
- 6. Nuisance/Invasive species
- 7. Development pressure
- 8. Potentials for rezones
- 11. Water quality
- 12. Limited public access/potential loss of access
- 13. Loss of greenspace/parks
- 14. Loss of wildlife habitat
- 15. Increased usage of on-site wastewater disposal
- 16. Unregulated/unrestricted residential development
- 17. Neglected property if not publicly maintained/loss of management
- 18. Commercialization
- 19. Illegal dumping
- 20. Uncertain future ownership
- 21. Lack of regulations and enforcement



Appendix G

PRAIRIE CREEK MASTER PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE

The Delaware-Muncie Metropolitan Plan Commission is working jointly with the Delaware County Soil and Water Conservation District through the White River Watershed Project to create a Master Plan for Preservation and Development for the Prairie Creek Reservoir area. In order to write an effective plan for the area with logical recommendations, we need your help. With the survey responses, we hope to gain a better understanding of how the community feels about the recreational services, water quality, current character and values, and potential for future development in the Prairie Creek Reservoir area. We assure you that your responses to this survey will remain anonymous and will only be used in the planning process for the Prairie Creek Master Plan. Please do not provide any personal information such as your name or address on this form. Postage has been provided so there is no monetary cost to you for completing this survey. Your responses to the survey are greatly valued and appreciated, and we ask that you thoughtfully answer each question to the best of your knowledge. Since your responses are an important part of the planning process, we ask that you please respond to the survey in a timely fashion. We can then begin to compile the results and write the plan.

1. Have you ever visited the Prairie	Creek Reservoir?	
\Box Yes	\square No	
2. Approximately how many times of	lid you visit the Prairie Creek Reservo	oir in 2005?
$\square 0$	□ 6-10	□ 16-20
□ 1-5	□ 11-15	\square More than 20

3. What activities or amenities have you done or used at the Prairie Creek Reservoir? Check all that apply. □ Fishing \Box ATV course □ Playgrounds

□ Picnicking □ Campground □ Swimming/beach \Box Boating

 \Box Other (please explain)

4. How did you hear about the Prairie Creek Reservoir? Check all that apply. \Box Friends □ Newspaper $\Box TV$ \Box Coworkers □ Online \Box Church members

 \Box Other (please explain)

5. What are the strengths of the Prairie Creek Reservoir? Please write answer below.

6. What are the weaknesses of the Prairie Creek Reservoir? Please write answer below.

7. Should the Prairie Creek Reservoir be made more visible throughout the community by advertisements and promotions? Check only one. \Box I don't know \Box Yes \square No

8. Do you know what a Watershed is? Check only one. \Box Yes □ No

9. Do you live in the Prairie Creek Watershed? Check only one. □ Yes \square No

10. The City of Muncie should consider expanding the park services at the Prairie Creek Reservoir. Check only one. □ I agree □ I disagree \Box I don't know

11. What changes would you like to see at the Prairie Creek Reservoir? Please write answer below.

12. What is most worth protecting at the Prairie Creek Reservoir? Please write answer below.

13. The Prairie Creek Reservoir is a positive asset to our community. Check only one. □ I disagree \Box I don't know □ I agree

14. Did you know that the Prairie Creek Reservoir is a backup drinking water source for Muncie? Check only one. \Box Yes □ No

 \Box Horse trails

Appendix G

 \Box I don't know



Appendix G

 15. What types of recreation do you Check all that apply. Camping Swimming Motor and pontoon boating 	think should be allowed at the Prairie Sailing Horseback riding	e Creek Reservoir? □ Fishing □ Off-road vehicles
Other (please explain)		
16. Water quality in the Prairie Cree □ I agree	k Reservoir is important. Check only □ I disagree	⁄ one. □ I don't know
17. What character or image do you below.	associate with the Prairie Creek Rese	ervoir? Write answer
18. Would you like to see the area su to become any of the following? Ch	urrounding the Prairie Creek Reservo eck all that apply.	ir change its character
□ More naturalized	□ More commercialized	
□ Less naturalized	□ I don't know	
 More residential More agricultural 	□ No change, I like the current char	acter of the area.
19. What types of development wou apply.	ld you like to see in the Prairie Creek	area? Check all that
□ Single family homes	Housing subdivisions	□ Retail stores
□ Apartments	□ Industrial	□ Other commercial
Condominiums	\square No development	\Box I don't know
20. The Prairie Creek Reservoir and Check only one.	surrounding areas should be kept jus	t the way it is now.
□ I agree	□ I disagree	□ I don't know
21. What opportunities would you li answer below.	ke to see pursued at the Prairie Creek	Reservoir? Write

22. What threats do you see at the Prairie Creek Reservoir? Write answer below.

23. I would like to see waterfront lots available for sale to home builders along the Prairie Creek Reservoir. Check only one. □ I disagree □ I agree \Box I don't know

24. The City of Muncie should buy the area surrounding the Prairie Creek Reservoir that is currently owned by the Indiana-American Water Company to provide more public open space and/or parks for the community. Check only one. □ I agree □ I disagree \Box I don't know

25. Would you attend a public meeting to gain more information, discuss, and provide feedback on the Prairie Creek Master Plan? Check only one. \Box I don't know □ Yes □ No



Appendix G

26. What boundaries would you use to define the Prairie Creek area? Draw on map below.

Propose a Boundary for Prairie Creek Master Plan 422 5 575 S Corporate Li Prairie Creek White River inch equals 0.6 miles Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007 ATLE COMPLET

Appendix H

Prairie Creek Master Plan Mail-In Survey Results

209 Total Surveys

1. Have you ever visited th	e Prairie Creek Reservoir?		15. What types of recreation do you think show
199 🗆 Yes	8 □ No		174 □ Camping 177 □ Motor 192 □ Swimming □ boating
2. Approximately how mar	ny times did you visit the Prairie Cre	ek Reservoir in 2005?	175 🗆 Sailin
51 □ 0 88 □ 1-5	25 □ 6-10 14 □ 11-15	8 □ 16-20 22 □ More than 20	16. Water quality in the Prairie Creek Reservoir 197 \Box I agree 1 \Box I disa
3. What activities or ameni	ties have you done or used at the Pr	airie Creek Reservoir?	
118□Fishing126□Picnicking31□Campground	6 □ ATV course 85 □ Swimming/beach 118 □ Boating	73□Playgrounds8□Horse trails	 18. Would you like to see the area surrounding become any of the following? 106 □ More naturalized 25 □ More
	the Prairie Creek Reservoir?		106□More naturalized25□More3□Less naturalized19□More14□More residential14□More
110□Friends5□TV	0 □ Online 37 □ Newspaper	 23 □ Coworkers 9 □ Church members 	19. What types of development would you like $25 \square$ Single family homes $9 \square$ House
advertisements and promo			3□ Apartments3□ Indus10□ Condominiums131□ No d
92 □ Yes	69 □ No	43 □ I don't know	20. The Prairie Creek Reservoir and surroundin
8. Do you know what a Wa	atershed is?		$113 \Box I agree \qquad 50 \Box I disa$
141 🗆 Yes	63 □ No		23. I would like to see waterfront lots available Reservoir.
9. Do you live in the Prairie	e Creek Watershed? 141 □ No	43 □ I don't know	18I agree165I disa
10. The City of Muncie sho 105 □ I agree	ould consider expanding the park se	rvices at the Prairie Creek Reservoir.	24. The City of Muncie should buy the area sur currently owned by the Indiana-American Wate and/or parks for the community.
13 The Prairie Creek Rese	rvoir is a positive asset to our comm	ninity	$122 \Box I agree \qquad 46 \Box I disa$
190 □ I agree	3 □ I disagree	13 □ I don't know	25. Would you attend a public meeting to gain the Prairie Creek Master Plan?
14. Did you know that the175 □ Yes	Prairie Creek Reservoir is a backup 30 □ No	drinking water source for Muncie?	98 □ Yes 37 □ No
Prairie Creek Master P	lan 2007		

Appendix H

think should be allowed at the	he Prairie Creek Reservoir?
 7 □ Motor and pontoon boating 75 □ Sailing 	 158 □ Horseback riding 187 □ Fishing 61 □ Off-road vehicles
Reservoir is important.	
□ I disagree	4 □ I don't know
rrounding the Prairie Creek	Reservoir change its character to
5 □ More agricultural 9 □ More commercialized	20 □ I don't know 59 □ No change, I like the current character of the area.
d you like to see in the Prair	tie Creek area?
 Housing subdivisions Industrial No development 	21□Retail stores19□Other commercial22□I don't know
surrounding areas should be	kept just the way it is now.
〕 □ I disagree	29 \Box I don't know
s available for sale to home l	builders along the Prairie Creek
<mark>5</mark> □ I disagree	24 □ I don't know
he area surrounding the Prai crican Water Company to pre	rie Creek Reservoir that is ovide more public open space
6 □ I disagree	33 □ I don't know
ng to gain more information	, discuss, and provide feedback on
7 □ No	69 □ I don't know
]	Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

OPEN ENDED QUESTION RESULTS FROM THE MAIL-IN PUBLIC SURVEY

Question #5: What are the strengths of PCR?

- Proximity to Muncie
- Green space
- Water quality
- Decent fishing
- Public access/open to public
- Sailboat club
- Wildlife area/habitat
- Water supply
- Camping
- Boating
- Clean facilities
- Variety of recreational activities
- Size
- New rules limiting long-term camping
- Beach area
- Beautiful surroundings
- Waterfowl
- Family atmosphere
- Boat launch
- Yacht club
- Well maintained
- Peacefulness
- Flood control
- Community gathering area
- Not too crowded
- Picnic areas
- Lifeguards
- Only water body in area for family recreation
- Minimal housing on the property
- Friendly Staff
- Place outside of City to get back to
- nature
- Family friendly music selection
- Cost effective
- Docks well maintained
- No wave runners/ jet skis allowed

Question #6: What are the weaknesses of PCR?

- Accessibility
- Weedy
- Poor foot access
- Lease is about to expire

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Trailer campers

- Invasive species
- Too many carp
- Overcrowded in some areas
- Needs better advertisement/PR
- Launching & boat ramps inadequate
- Too much shoreline tied up in pier rentals
- Septic system issues
- Nutrient loading
- Bacteria in water
- Too many people go to party/drunk people/drugs
- Beach is dirty
- Campground looks trashy
- More enforcement/better patrols/better security
- Bathroom/showers need updated
- Not enough commercial development to encourage tourism
- Year round campers
- Trash
- Limited electric camping sites
- Not enough mowed areas on west side
- Too many pontoons take away shoreline
- Fights in campground
- Outdated equipment
- Tax drain
- Too small for many boats
- No water skiing allowed
- Geese/ducks
- Poor fishing/poorly stocked
- Water unclean
- Bank fishing areas limited
- Yacht club
- Horse club
- Model boat club
- Not deep enough
- Speed limit too low
- Signage

- Too many houses/businesses
- Hard for out of town people to find
- Dock rental/campground rental procedure (political)
- Not enough restaurants or bait houses
- No temporary docking
- Poor lighting at boat ramp
- Too much control by government

- Waterfowl hunting not allowed
- No walking/biking trails
- No paddle boat/canoe rentals
- No sewage disposal for camping
- Underdeveloped
- Not enough camping sites
- Not enough piers
- Have to pay at beach
- Parking

•

see at PCR?

٠

٠

•

٠

•

•

٠

- Not enough watercraft speed enforcement
- Not enough room for tent campers

Traffic around reservoir makes it

Question #11: What changes would you like to

Foot access all around the lake

Keep West side more natural

Increase game fish population

Easier access for dog running area

Muncie purchase lake from IAW

Keep development on East side

dangerous for biking/running

Bad roads (potholes)

Time limit on camping

Less nutrient loading

Improve water clarity

Habitat enhancement

Larger boat launching area

Newer/bigger playground

Encourage private investors

Community planned activities i.e.

Make it for profit- stop using tax money

More water sports allowed i.e. skiing

More law enforcement

Stop speed boats

New bathrooms

Roller or ice rink

Expand it

fairs, craft shows, etc.

More electric camp sites

More areas for speed boats

Expand beach area

One dock per person

Add more boat docks

More primitive camping areas

Better boat ramps

- Run down facilities
- Red neck people

Less trash

Appendix H

- More camping sites on both sides
- More picnic shelters
- More areas open to bank fishing
- Turn it into state park
- Keep drugs/alcohol out of area
- More picnic tables
- Better signage
- Lake view restaurant
- Bike trails
- Fish cleaning station
- Rental cabins
- More tourism businesses close to PCR
- Clean lake out; get rid of growths
- More bait houses
- Extension of City sanitary sewer lines
- Affordable boat/paddle boat rental
- Press for better upkeep of personal docked vessels
- Allowed to fly American flag
- More ATV courses
- More horse trails
- Water park
- Waterfowl hunting allowed
- Paved roads on West side
- Gift shop
- No more piers installed
- Mountain bike trails
- Sports facilities i.e. baseball diamond, soccer fields
- Frequent visitor program
- Smoke free store
- Publicize proximity of PCR to Greenway
- Allow free days at beach for low income residents
- More park workers
- More watercraft speed limit enforcement
- Roadways leading to shoreline/banks for fishing
- Attractions for motorcycle enthusiasts

Question #12: What is most worth protecting at PCR?

- Open space/ green space
- Recreational opportunities
- Safety of users
- Family atmosphere
- Keep area surrounding PCR the same as it is now
- Water quality

Appendix H

- Wildlife ٠
- Undeveloped riparian
- City park
- Red tail nature preserve
- Public access
- Lack of excess housing around PCR ٠
- Wooded areas ٠
- Natural beauty
- Rural atmosphere
- Campground
- Playground ٠
- Swim area
- ATV courses
- Horseback riding trails
- The American flag •
- Wildlife habitat •
- Fishing
- Water supply
- Watershed
- Wetlands
- The land- no development

Question #17: What character or image do you

associate with PCR?

- Relaxed atmosphere •
- Boating •
- Fishing
- Ron Bonham
- Sailboat
- A heron
- Teeter Totter
- Water
- White trash •
- Family fun •
- Ducks
- Community recreation area
- State attraction
- Laying in the sun ٠
- Beach ٠
- Serene & quiet
- Drain on tax payers
- Middle-upper class recreation .
- Tourist environment •
- Children
- Fun in the sun •
- Water sports ٠
- Focuses on those with money i.e. ٠ dock rental
- Run down/ poor maintenance ٠

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

- Nature
- Unattractive
- Party central
- Too small to support large crowds
- A former boondoggle
- Getaway place
- Smokey bear
- Muncie endurathon
- Hillbilly
- Trashy campground
- Low income campers
- Anti-hunter
- Campfires
- Fireworks
- Carp
- Hangout for rough people
- Deer drinking from the Reservoir
- Hawk flying in the distance
- Low life people

Question #21: What opportunities would you like to see pursued at PCR?

- Improve quality of fishing •
- More recreational activities •
- Hiking trails •
- Pedestrian access •
- Bird watching areas
- Surrounding areas returned to a naturalized state
- Fishing tournaments
- Reduction in pier rentals
- Increase in boating activities
- Educational/nature programs
- Biking trails
- Sailing regattas
- More little shops/retail
- Petting zoo
- More picnic areas
- No motorized off-road vehicles
- Marina on water with gas pumps
- Better swimming facility
- Baseball diamond
- A pay as you go system that ensures a fair . return to the city coffers
- Make the lake larger
- Naturalize the banks
- Tourist retail stores
- Day camps for kids
- More boat docks

- Less fishing restrictions
- Lower camping rates
- Supply store/general store
- More activities for elderly
- population/handicapped

Game room

Water skiing

State park

Water park

Bike rentals

kids

Hotel

Ice skating

Concert pavilion

Houseboat rentals

environment

at

٠

•

•

.

•

.

٠

Lottery for dock rentals

Only low-impact recreation

Residential development

Commercial development

Increasing real estate values

Agricultural runoff

Limited public access

Introduction of invasive species

Lack of suitable funds for maintenance

Trailer campers

Pollution

Congestion

More family facilities

Environmental protection

More public camping sites

Waterfowl hunting area

More public piers

Wildlife preserve

Stock with game fish

Mow more places to bank fish

More up keep/maintenance

Newer playground equipment

Dog running or hunting tournaments

Measures put in place to protect PCR's

No commercial development

Summer work for high school or college

- More jobs
- Rental cabins Affordable horse back riding

Appendix H

- Drunks
- Firearms
- Fights
- Off-road vehicles
- Unappealing campground
- Littering
- More taxes for good old boys
- Golf carts
- Lack of informed community members
- Lack of quality fish
- Poor water quality
- Sewage
- Decline in family atmosphere/family use
- Overuse by campers
- Drug use
- Poor safety
- Losing land to private landowners
- Overuse by boats
- Redneckification
- Politics of PCR
- Vandalism
- Not enough advertisement
- Septic systems
- Lakeside homes
- The mayor
- High speed boating •
- Anti-hunter/PETA
- Too many geese/droppings
- Crime
- Trash dumping •
- Becoming overpopulated
- Too many boating accidents
- Lack of a development plan •

A building for community groups to gather

Question #22: What threats do you see at PCR?



Appendix I

Prairie Creek Master Plan Online Survey Results				
92 Total Surveys				
1. Are you a current resident of	Delaware County, Indiana?			
76 □ Yes	16 □ No			
2. Have you ever visited the Pra	irie Creek Reservoir?			
91 □ Yes	1 □ No			
3. Approximately how many tim	es did you visit the Prairie Creek	Reservoir in 2005?		
23 □ 0 17 □ 1-5	6 □ 6-10 7 □ 11-15	11 □ 16-20 28 □ More than 20		
4. What activities or amenities h	ave you done or used at the Prain	rie Creek Reservoir?		
13□Fishing17□Picnicking5□Campground	3 □ ATV course 11 □ Swimming/beach 23 □ Boating	 9 □ Playgrounds 4 □ Horse trails 7 □ Other 		
5. How did you hear about the l	Prairie Creek Reservoir? Check al	l that apply.		
52□Friends1□TV	3 □ Online 10 □ Newspaper	9□Coworkers3□Church members40□Other		

6. What are the strengths of the Prairie Creek Reservoir?

(Last five responses)

- Limited motor boat speeds. Close to the Greenway trail. Natural shoreline except for the numerous pontoons on east side.
- * Nice quiet sailing and fishing lake that is very pretty. The grounds are beautifully maintained
- Sailboat club. *
- Natural shore line. Clean water. Well maintained park. Sailing club. *
- Close place to go fishing.

7. What are the weaknesses of the Prairie Creek Reservoir? (Last five responses)

- * No facilities on the West side.
- * Camp ground is an eye sore.
- Campground, the way piers for pontoons are transferred.

limit no longer enforced. * No skiing. 8. Should the Prairie Creek Reservoir be made advertisements and promotions? 41 □ Yes 29 □ No

9. Do you know what a Watershed is? 80 □ Yes 12 □ No

10. Do you live in the Prairie Creek Watershee

	62	🗆 No
--	----	------

11. The City of Muncie should consider expan

 $66 \square I agree$

13 \square Yes

12. What changes would you like to see at the Prairie Creek Reservoir? (Last five responses)

- * Don't allow big boats.

* Cycling trails

Reduce number of pontoon boat docks, either by creating a pontoon marina or by offering to rent pontoon boats. Eliminate high speed boats, no skiing or tubing. Eliminate off road tracks. That can be anywhere. Turn off road area into a quailty camping area with good

security.

13. What is most worth protecting at the Prairi (Last five responses)

- * Shore line water Quailty. Don't permit Buildings any closer that now is permitted.
- * The natural look of the shoreline.
- Don't allow houses to be built around lake. *
- * Water
- term camping area (more space per camp site, better security.)

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

The second state of the second sec

Appendix I

Over crowded campground. Too many pontoon boats. Pontoon boat docks. 10 mph speed

oir be made more visible throu	ughout the community by
29 □ No	22 □ I don't know
l is?	
12 □ No	
k Watershed?	
62 □ No	17 □ I don't know
nsider expanding the park serv	ices at the Prairie Creek Reservoir.
16 □ I disagree	10 □ I don't know

Need more restrroms away from beach and campground. Continue to review and enforce * Add some picnic areas on the west side with facilities. Continue to limit motor boat speeds.

ie	Creek	Reservo	ir	2

Natural shore line. Separate long term camping from short term. Offer a higher quailty short



Appendix I

14. The Prairie Creek Res	ervoir is a positive asset to our commun	ity.	22. What opportunities would you like to see
88 🗆 I agree	3 □ I disagree	1 □ I don't know	(Last five responses)
15 D'il ha that do	- Durinia Caral Daramania in hardens dai		* Bicycle friendly roads or trails around th* Hiking Trails
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e Prairie Creek Reservoir is a backup dri	nking water source for Muncle?	* Redneck dunk tank
86 □ Yes	6 □ No		* Recreational Trails
16. What types of recreati	on do you think should be allowed at th	e Prairie Creek Reservoir?	* Trail Connection.
(Last five responses)	-		23. What threats do you see at the Prairie Cree
14 □ Camping	12 🗆 Motor and pontoon	13 □ Horseback riding	(Last five responses)
16 □ Swimming	boating	5 □ Off-road vehicles	* Development
15 □ Fishing	15 □ Sailing	2 □ Other	* Development
17 Water quality in the P	rairie Creek Reservoir is important.		* Developers
92 \square I agree	0 \Box I disagree	0 □ I don't know	* Rednecks
92 🗆 I agree			* Nearby Residential development withou
18. What character or ima	age do you associate with the Prairie Cre	ek Reservoir?	24. I would like to see waterfront lots available
(Last five responses)			Reservoir.
	ation, Sunshine, Fellowship, Fun, Activit	у.	$7 \Box I agree \qquad 83 \Box I dis$
	from the stress of everyday life.		
 Quiet lake that is aff * Redneck 	fordable to everyone.		25. The City of Muncie should buy the area su
	eauty, with camping, sailing, fishing and	swimming.	currently owned by the Indiana-American Wa and/or parks for the community.
			$64 \Box I agree 9 \Box I dis$
	the area surrounding the Prairie Creek I	Reservoir change its character to	
become any of the follow			26. Would you attend a public meeting to gain
65 □ More naturalized 0 □ Less naturalized	3 □ More agricultural 6 □ More commercialized	$2 \square I don't know$ 29 $\square No change. I like the$	the Prairie Creek Master Plan?
$\frac{1}{4} \square \text{ More residential}$		29 □ No change, I like the current character of the area.	$79 \Box Yes \qquad 4 \Box No$
20. What types of develop	oment would you like to see in the Prairi	e Creek area?	
9 □ Single family hom	les <u>3</u> □ Housing subdivisions	9 □ Retail stores	
3	0 🗆 Industrial	5 \Box Other commercial	
6 □ Condominiums	71 □ No development	9 □ I don't know	
21. The Prairie Creek Res	ervoir and surrounding areas should be	kept just the way it is now.	
51 □ I agree	29 □ I disagree	$12 \square I don't know$	
Prairie Creek Master	Plan 2007		

Appendix I

	oursued	at the	Prairie	Creek	Reservoir?
--	---------	--------	---------	-------	------------

ls around the reservoir

e Prairie Creek Reservoir?

ment without proper septic system.

lots available for sale to home builders along the Prairie Creek

83 □ I disagree

2 □ I don't know

uy the area surrounding the Prairie Creek Reservoir that is merican Water Company to provide more public open space

9 □ I disagree

19 □ I don't know

eeting to gain more information, discuss, and provide feedback on





					lan
			-		ter
-	-	-			Mas
	-	de		Л.	ruoir
			5	2	Rese
				1	cek
			1	_	e C
					rain

Public Meeting

July 25th, 2006

Sign In

Email	(President of a U.S. State and		Localowale TO Vilino com		mune	7 John 1000 03 Charter	11	47346	N 47346	kent. bullis @ prodicy . wet	4		SDODLE PANIN 360 C HEL , LON	J			Campbed & man Com		ALCOMENTATION AND A	Indianson - Truce - bala & QYahoo &	INEFLOIS . m. 46060	
Address	8/11 S. BURLINGTON DR	3501 SBell Cr RS 70400-1	319 Challenal months	0	2.6,	test of a rate and a lot	6553 E Winder Re	POBX 3274 Mundo 47207	1 2 1	401 N. SycAMORE, HAGERSTOWN	401 N. SYCAMORE-HAGERSTOWN, IN	8420 Sólo Rul Seo ENST Securi	12441 W 6R 3005 PREVER	12441 W CR. 300 S PARKER	leger R CR TOO S SECURA	GENU W. ALMA Q7 YORKTOW		5110 SCL 575 2457	7305 8. C.R. 550 Do.	7001 5 CR 475 E	3715 N. C.2. 6 50 E.	4404 S. C.R. 575 & Solard	16144 Grade Dr.	SSOI S CR 475 & CAIMA.
Name	Q. R. JOE PREKCS	Volker Jaron	Steve GODD		John Logan	Tran' Malone	Mr. 4 Mrs. Robert Rahmeter	John Logan	Dave Adams	JEROME B MARLATT	JANE E MARLATT	Kent in Bullis	BOS TAYLOR	Eileen Oren-TAYIOF	Stendt's CASET BURKE	Jim 130 R9 233	SENUG adrages	Mikel Elud	Conie Arnonital	CHARLES MCPHERSON	UN LANDERT	Puill Wills	Robert D. White	James Isven

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007



3

90

Appendix J

IN NIC VILLO NUM I OU C	1000 St town MAN	11:101 & MANUTI 10 JAUNIUI
Jimy Karen Vincent	6909 S CR 475 F Selma 47583 Kmuincent 715 DAOVE. COM	Kmuincent 715 Drove - com
Saw micked	8101 Scr 475 CAST MUNICIE 47302	
1 Adden Murreu	3200 N CR BOD E, ANER CAY 17368 MUNCLECINICO AC. COM	WUNCLECINICO AC. CON
Money Wilking	16505 CR 475 & Selma 47383 nuilkins emurie. K12. in. us	nwilkińs @muncie.k12.jn.us
Patrick corper	9225 E CR6005 Je mey 7383	
Rex Currel		Scorpion 10 KT. NGT
DAVE SIMMONS	6504 S CR 560 E. SELMA	Simple ISTS OICUST. NET
Mark Harper	3601 S CR 575 E Selma	Dalive dretreet carter Duckster. co
ted Blockett		tchlode pugusa. met
MARGARET CANTREL	3810 5 BURLINGTON MUNIC	
CHAPLES CANTRELL	is to co lo	
LARRY BLENSOE	11201 S.CE. 700E Selma H7383	
RANDY NUCEOLS	94355 4005 Selme 47383	Nuckie @ 4 TT. NeT
Tim Schute Osbarn	5021 5475 8 Selma 47382 TOSBORN "BHOTMAIL, COM	TOSBORN BHOTWAIL, COM
Rick Miller	7112 Waldemar Dr. Indianapolis, IN 46268 runiller @ gruine. com	puniller @ gruine. com
DONG SDENCE	2220 5 Fus on KJ Muneuth	>

18 ALLET

Appendix J

or N
10

TIPI

Public Meeting

July 25th, 2006

Sign In

7383 4739, 66 Email 250 elma 44 LH IL Ma SPL MA, IN ma CMA A 19AC 2 DC S 20 0 ECAYOC đ SCR S 4 U X. Address 8 SS PS 804 0 20 0 9990 0 7305 n Ta 5600 390 20 5 0 5 4 65 gredel 5 RUICHAE ARRE Ann NU AD Name

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007



Appendix J

July 25th, 2006	Email	C	GRWMJW (Mr. com			SJFarber 10 C CS. Com		ashertherader, colu		danielomuncie.K12.in.us		Wilson debar @ remyine . com			Jokkuk@ad.com	2 1 copard Ocl (C) ach. Com	M	H1304	302	£0\$14	harriscga3@ aol-com	19 @ Hughes	10peir 47302			
Public Meeting Sign In	Address	BOZO S. CR 7008.	15 CR 575 E	402 N. R.A. MUNCIE 47304 8011 S. CR 600E Selma FN 41383	65055. CE 475 ESELMA	leastan	55805 CR 575 & Selna	401 3. collego Nuncje	RR I Nelman	6333 S CR 475 E Selma	3404 N VULMON Dr.	6101 S CR 475E Selm	7770 S CR 520 E Selway	1110 S. LANCASTER Rd MUNCLE 4730	9859 E Windson Rol Selving 47383	9859 E wind ser pd. selong 473	8620 S CR SEDE Shing 4738	3	1215 E QR 5505 Munere 41	2401 S BURLERTAN DR MUNCER	100 F. Windson Jal	8820 S CK S60 & Serma	9221 \$ S. CO. IId 441 15 1			
Porter Crock Rescond Mark Plan	Name	Gen Gorbaur	acurae y inson	Kon Marvin Gary Marvin	GREG WILLINS	Jeff Farber Neffe	Boba Unlie Conk	Hurrington after	1 1000 lead on .	Wielt + Jenaly Darriel	full colory	Monte + Debby Wilson	Refer Sirker	MARSHA HAMMOND	Kothleen Kindt	John Kindt Zr.	Krister Herbst	Willangeret Hogt	CHRIS BOYSMAN	ADAL + Meny Draw	Rem's Caral Harris	They Lewig	20 John V Summere	2	187	

Appendix J

				Pla
				atter
-				ir x
	-	din a		Strue
			° -	k Re
				Cre
			-	۹ ۴.

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Public Meeting

July 25th, 2006

Sign In

	Email	pcahoe @ bsu, edu	PIMCKIN (2) ADL. COM				deenviron@ localhealty. in.gov	SKIN 1806 2 NETZERO. NET U	SITIC KONIC () Adl. Com									
	Address	6091 S. C. R 475 47383	7770 SCR 5605 "	8080 S. BURLING FON 417302	Del Co,	8080 S. County Road 475 E	311 5 GHARKEN ST. MUNICH7305	8351 E CR 10605 Stelma	11910 S. US HELWIGH 35 CORANNIE	1								
pruirle Creek Reservair Master Plan	Name	Bill & Penny Carloe	Paula McKinnen	Tom + Awy CCPRiday	The Knight of WANDS	(hornes & Marchy Salla)	+ bytet 5. 1 / Lucions &	Allacon & Audus Parne	RICHARD O DAMINE SR									

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM RESULTS FROM THE PUBLIC MEETING JULY 25TH, 2006

Blue numbers are the raw data of respondents. Orange numbers are the response percentages.

Recommendations of the Economic Development Focus Group

1) The reservoir and park represent a regional destination attraction and that is the principle economic reality

cononne reality.				
Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
17	43	9	11	2
21%	52%	11%	13%	2%

2) The area inside the ring road should remain mostly as is. Rezoning as recreational and conservation may be appropriate.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
45	29	0	6	3
54%	35%	0%	7%	4%

3) There should be more marketing of the park and existing facilities and opportunities available in the area. A park brochure and event attractions that would appeal to visitors and residents were suggested. Improvements in the park facilities and the addition of trails on the west side that connect to the greenway could make the park more appealing.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
22	34	6	13	5
27%	42%	7%	16%	6%

4) Improved informational signage to help loca **Strongly Agree** Agree No Op 10 39 18 22% 12% 48%

5) It was felt that the east bank is pretty well used currently and that the only opportunity for further development inside the ring road would involve the west bank. Such use of the west bank would be inconsistent with the desire to keep that area in an undeveloped and "natural" state and would impair the overall appeal of the reservoir.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
28	27	6	15	6
34%	33%	7%	18%	7%

cate the rese	ervoir is needed.	
oinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	11	4
/0	13%	5%



6) It was recommended that the city either extend their lease beyond the expected expiration date or purchase the reservoir grounds so the community might continue to enjoy the benefits of this unique area.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
36	32	4	6	3
44%	39%	5%	7%	4%

7) If the school becomes available it could be and opportunity for development. An educational or interpretive center focusing on water was suggested.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
5	25	29	9	10
6%	32%	37%	11%	13%

8) Road access could be improved to allow for easier travel to and from the reservoir. This would be especially important if the reservoir is to host many events of any size.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
17	41	3	17	5
20%	49%	4%	20%	6%

9) The construction of additional resources could benefit the area. Such construction might include an educational area or facility, cabins or a facility for overnight stay.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
2	31	14	23	10
2%	39%	17%	29%	12%

10) Limited development may be appropriate in the future if demand increases, but currently there seems to be commercial and residential resources available to meet the current demand.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8	44	9	13	4
10%	55%	11%	17%	5%

11) If the demand for commercial resources increases it is recommended that it be met by clustering any new use near or adjacent to the exiting areas. A possible exception to this general rule could be a specialty restaurant sited to overlook the reservoir just north of the sailing club.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6	40	11	11	12
7%	50%	14%	14%	15%

12) It is recommended and seems practical that no residential development occur on a large scale in the area without the existence of sewer and water utilities. The absence of large tracts near the water and the desire to maintain water quality seem to preclude residential development on any large scale.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
38	32	3	6	2
47%	39%	4%	7%	2%

Recommendations of the Conservation/Environment Focus Group

1) Delaware County should set up a regional on-site wastewater district to regulate wastewater treatment in the Prairie Creek subwatershed and collect taxes for improved wastewater treatment technologies if soil is not suitable for individual leach fields. Strongly Agree No Opi Agree 9 17 9 11% 21% 11%

2) Install 50 foot buffer strips around the shoreline of the existing ATV course to mitigate sediment loading and erosion impacts caused by the extensive use of the course. Stro

ongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
26	33	13	3	6
32%	41%	16%	4%	7%

3) Look for alternative areas within the subwatershed to eventually replace the ATV course currently adjacent to the Prairie Creek Reservoir.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
20	27	17	5	12
25%	33%	21%	6%	15%

4) No individual leach fields for new concentrated developments located within the ring road boundary

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
28	29	12	3	3
37%	39%	16%	4%	4%

5) If development pressures continue to increase, the Muncie Sanitary District should extend					
sanitary sewer lines out to the Prairie Creek Reservoir loop road for new developments.					
Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
11	17	1	20	33	
13%	21%	1%	24%	40%	

6) Encourage best management practices for sediment-reduction practices in the subwatershed.				
Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
21	48	8	0	2
27%	61%	10%	0%	2%

7) Constructed wetlands should be built along the bays and inlets of the Prairie Creek Reservoir and managed by the Muncie Parks Department to mitigate septic and agricultural runoff and enhance habitat for waterfowl and fish reproduction.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
23	43	4	10	3
28%	52%	5%	12%	4%

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendix K

oinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	26	18
/ ₀	33%	23%

8) Every drainage ditch in the subwatershed should have a buffer strip with natural vegetation to reduce sediment and nutrient loading from agricultural runoff, to stabilize the ditch bank, and to reduce the need for dredging: 120 feet wide on each side for ditches with permanent flows of water and 30 feet wide on each side for intermittent ditches

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
16	38	13	7	7
20%	47%	16%	9%	9%

9) Conservation districts are zoned for the intent of humans to enjoy wildlife and greenspace, not solely to protect wildlife; No structural buildings such as playgrounds or shelters should be built in the conservation zones.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
25	30	9	11	4
32%	38%	11%	14%	5%

10) The West side in the ring road should be rezoned to conservation instead of residential because it provides a buffer from the development outside the ring road on the West side

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
31	31	8	5	5
39%	39%	10%	6%	6%

Recommendations of the Recreation Focus Group

1) Attach a recreation/conservation land use and future zone to the area within the "ring road" and other areas as appropriate.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
12	42	16	6	4
15%	59%	20%	7%	5%

2) The City and/or County should buy the land inside the "ring road".

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
19	26	11	10	8
26%	35%	15%	13%	11%

- 3) Establish an agreement for "flipping" ownership of the reservoir that is embraced by both the city of Muncie and Delaware County that:
 - Establishes that the Water Company wants to retain control of the reservoir as long as they are using it as water supply.
 - The City (or county) shall obtain 1st right of refusal for purchasing any of the land for public use/ public protection in or out of the "ring road".

	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	15	38	9	11	2
	20%	51%	12%	15%	3%

4) Establish a Land Restoration-Revegetation Management Plan:

- reservoir.
- Involve 501(c)3s in the planting of areas within the ring road.
- additional trees.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opi
18	34	10
24%	46%	13

5) Establish wetlands on inlets to the reservoir.				
Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
17	40	4	12	3
22%	53%	5%	16%	4%

- these improvements are prepared/constructed, it is recommended that:
 - •
 - southwest side of the reservoir).

*This would establish the desired main route around the reservoir ("loop trail"), and then additional trials leading into natural areas would create destinations. Multi-use trails shall maintain visual separation from the horse trails.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
30	31	2	9	6
38%	40%	3%	11%	8%

- conservation.
 - The west side shall be dedicated to passive recreation.
 - The east side shall be dedicated to active recreation

Strongly Agree	Agree	ree No Opinion Disagree Strongly Disagree			
24	40	2	10	1	
31%	52%	3%	13%	1%	

8) The area inside	the "ring road	" shall be returned to g	reen space.
Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree
12	24	10	21
17%	32%	13%	28%

• Identify 3 native revegetation scenarios that would enhance the natural character of the

Create a provision for tree replacement. Currently when developers remove large trees they have to replant multiple trees in their place. If there isn't enough space onsite to plant all the trees necessary, then there could be a designated replacement area at Prairie Creek for the

Create a Cost-Share program to reforest corridors along and outside the ring road. oinion Disagree Strongly Disagree 0 8 4 3% 11% 5%

6) Improvement of the road structure is needed as well as routing through New Burlington. When

New road construction around the reservoir shall include a road side trail or bike lanes.

• This main "loop trail" must connect to the Cardinal Greenway (most sensibly on the

7) The area inside the "ring road" shall be dedicated to public use, whether recreation or

Strongly Disagree 9%



9) The City and/or County Park should increase pier fees for out of county residents. If the park is run by the city of Muncie, pier fees should increase for county residents.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
7	12	19	18	17
10%	16%	26%	25%	23%

10) The City and/or County Park needs to update the bathrooms/showers.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
13	30	29	4	1
17%	39%	38%	5%	1%

11) A management plan shall be imposed on the ATV site. The city/county should also look into alternative areas for an ATV site.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
21	25	13	9	8	
28%	33%	17%	12%	11%	

12) The City and/or County Park should extend services to include:

- An access area for non-motorized boats (canoes, rowboats...).
- Additional camping, including

o Spread out family camping in the north-eastern section of the park.

- Primitive camping- requires a short walk to the campsite from parking area.
 Use of alternative waste disposal is recommended (composting toilet systems).
- Additional Cabins

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
7	36	10	15	9
9%	47%	13%	19%	12%

13) Establish plat restrictions to any land in the area that gets platted.

Strongly Agree Agree		No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
18	35	19	3	5	
22%	44%	24%	4%	6%	

14) Encourage private landowners to use covenants/plat restrictions.

Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
16	29	23	6	6
20%	36%	29%	7%	7%

15) It is recommended that a 501(c)3 is set up to help gather resources to defend the reservoir and the long term transition envisioned in this plan.

Strongly Agree	strongly Agree Agree		Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
12	36	18	5	8	
15%	46%	23%	6%	10%	

16) Encourage con	servation farm	ing practices.		
Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
28	43	5	4	3
34%	52%	6%	5	⁶ % 4%

Please write any additional comments in the space below. You may use additional paper if needed. -Responses recorded separately.

OPEN ENDED COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC MEETING JULY 25th 2006

Access to the water and piers on west side for homeowners only.

When planning horse trail for area riders it has been suggested that the trail also be used for walking and hiking. This is not a good idea because of a very good chance of injury to riders or walkers/ hikers and the trash that is sometimes discarded by people. People and horses on the same trails will not mix.

Keep Ron Bonham- He's a good guy.

Have a goose fest!

Let's not dilute the progress of the Red Tail Conservancy with other 501(c)3's.

Keep the reservoir as natural a possible, keep building limited. Improve water quality. Have law enforcement monitor the roads for speeding traffic as this is a problem on the east side.

We already have a 501(c) 3 for land conservation in ECI! Red Tail Conservancy

Access to the water (piers) for homeowners on 475E. Allow jet skiing- not to increase speed limit currently in place.

Home owners on ring road be allowed one pier near their property.

Piers on west side for homeowners on the west side.

The geese are overpopulated and create a health risk with the recreation facilities.

It was a good turnout.

You just want all this and the people living in the area to pay for it!!! I'm tired of my taxes going up every year! You want to talk we'll talk don't hide behind paper!

Let's pass this area on to future generations in a pristine as possible state.

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendix K



There are no park areas on the west side. Add a park area at Indian Hill and maintain it.

Pier on west side for homeowners. Bike trail around.

The trailer park is an eyesore... has really taken over what could be beautiful grounds! People pay minimal fees for an almost year-round lake view and are not assessed stiff property taxes like the rest of us! The geese are a problem because people ignore the "no feeding" signs... that is not patrolled strictly enough.

Geese control please! Camp ground to be regulated permitting only overnight camping not motor home or trailer for extended periods.

Recreational classes for kids. Concerts, plays and musicals and family events.

Form a county parks and recreation commission to administer the park.

Needs to be developed for waterfront homes.

Everyone here has their opinion, however you should look strongly at the economic opportunities. Conservation and habitat restoration costs \$\$, economic development brings in \$\$ so that all the other programs/ wish list items can be funded.

Get rid of the geese!

Fire trucks from Selma (Liberty Township) must use CR 700E (big water trucks) increasing their response time. What happened to the proposed new road from Selma? Smithfield Bridge can't handle the heavy trucks.

The park should open west side drives to waters edge for fishing. Step up patrols (security) in the park (real police officers) Piers should be handled and sold in a different manner- not by the buddy system. Stop the golf carts and scooters in the park. Repave drives on east side and re-open for autos. Need more spots to go fishing.

Preserve the horse trails.

Please preserve the horse trails on the west side!

Horseback riders have worked very hard to establish and maintain trails. We would like them to remain. The Muncie Light Horse Club and the Indiana Trails Riders would be happy to meet with any committee about the existing trails and what we could do to improve them.

Don't organize this to death. Don't develop it to death. It is a small lake. What each of the groups proposes will change the essential use of the park. We don't want another Geist and we don't want "Agenda 21" (sustainable development) either.

Include in the plan a strong fish base for improved fish populations. It is important to include fishing as a continuing popular recreation. I am concerned that the increase in water sports will harm the fish and the opportunity to fish. Prohibit the use of personal watercraft such as seadoos or skijets. These would be dangerous to the many boats and people tubing or other water sports.

As for purchasing the land inside the loop, I think it would be great however the price might be too much on already overtaxed landowners. Bike trails around the reservoir are not necessary. The roads around the reservoir can be utilized for this purpose, but more patrolling for vehicle speeders would be nice. The reservoir should remain low speed for the boats that use it and enforcement of the speed. The park personnel do a great job at keeping the grounds maintained.

Appendix K

A soft trail should be constructed around the perimeter just inside of the ring road. This would protect people's knees and joints when they jog or walk around the lake. It would extend people's walking and jogging life considerably. It would be considered an outstanding resource for the area.



Appendix L





Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendix L

-	4A • Sunday, February 26, 2001	www.thestarpress.com			14 14 14	Page designer: Phil Milles, 213-584
	The two performs at two performs the two performs at two perfo	<image/> <caption><text><text><text></text></text></text></caption>	Determined in the set of the seto	suite statistic statistic statistics and the statistic statistics with the statistic statistics with the statistic statistics and statistic statistics and s	I fon Bick, felimier, Courty Soi a Hon Bick, Defamier, Courty Soi a Hon Bochand, Traine Creek Park, a Li Alore Tamo, Delaware Courty et Hone Cannon, Delaware Courty et Hone Cannon, Delaware Courty et Hone Tamo, Delaware Courty et Hone Tenno, Delaware Courty et Hone Ferguson, Cardinal Greenwy Tchanette Heiner, Bry Dock Hancin, Danier Marker, Munche Satherg, Dock Taketta Hone, Kunche Satherg, Dock Taketta Mone, Curconty Falim Hone Taketta Hone, Kunche Satherg, Dock Taketta Mone, Curconty Falim Hone Taketta Hone, Curche Hand Hone Satherg, Satherg, Satherg	periatneeded. ver Naderhad Project. terissie relevates. arrer, 'P anter, P San State Worksript. E. Bureau of Waler Challing. comissions. te department, Ball State. tors. There.
	tid "dish, bress Moderly" and Tameria IIIs Research Heart Performer likes unique appeal Continued from 14 When the registry of the tameria of the strategy of the tameria of the strategy of the strategy between the strategy of the strategy	cent tradition, stuped in the down- town area during the summer. It maple shows. I like to shock reveal and you start per- forming sideshow starts? "About four of five years ago when I learned I could lift start with my excludes. I did the (It- man) Block Head when I was the Mew did you learn how to safely stick stuff up your soce? "Antomy books. Trial and er- ree". "Application of the same show to safely stick stuff up your soce? "Antomy books. Trial and er- ree". "Application of the same show to safely stick stuff up your soce?	added. Contait news reporter Ower 1 80pt at 203600 Is it painfall "Yes, it can be a lot of times. When I'm shoving a needle through nerally shoving a needle through needles through and areas through any areas and chest, for least through any areas through any areas and through News I'm any any any any any any through any any any any any any through any any any any any any any through any any any any any any any any through any any any any any any any any any through any	Lampis of commerts from dozens of tomanies. All resonances are anonymous Partitive remarks about reserve! Beaudity, card, claim, parentell, tamin friendig I well-manataned I Great place for camping portions descense and the same I Safe. I Safe. I Safe. Safe. Safe. Close to Muncie. Negative remarks about reserve! III they keps black power left. The laik is conset, over-out- and to samal. I for much draining, resolutes and to samal.	responses who have assured the Pro- I Not Tamly Triendly. I Locks Taking Tradewid. I Pers shouldn't be allowed. The personal watercraft allowed. The personal watercraft allowed. The personal watercraft allowed. To be shallow I Waiting Isis for boat docks. I Waiting I and Tradewide Tradewide park. I change out resembles tradewide park. I Newed a operting zoo, smalt shop- ping mail, realizand overlooking liake, shooting tamp, gas pump,	Inter Creek Reservoir master plan ques- rofler-stating rink, baskstatiat courts, basteball diamoni. I Gene overprouduled, goose drop- portion bask dross. I broating never. I broat may policion basks. I Do many policion basks. I Do many policion basks. I Do many policion basks. I Broat may camp sites. I bloet ack-fellow grants. I bloet ack-fellow grants. I bleed nore electric camp sites. I bleed nore electric camp sites. I bleed nore electric camp sites.
	Think the damper of ceils and the damper of ceils war as a result of this stack has diminished, altoych 1 do not believe we size completely out of damper vet'. U.S. Anhossador Zahny, Khalilaad tod reporters Nevertheless, bloodshed con- tinued. A car bomb exploded in the Shile body eity of Karbla, kill- ing at least us people, lospital of katas said. Gammen bredle into data and massecret i ynade mem- ber, police said. Bodles of 14 Irasi police com- mandos were found near their three burned which sets at the body of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the body of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the body of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the body of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the body of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the statistical sets of the body of the statistical sets of th	notin in rugginda, police and wis- time crisis has distracted an e- ronism from the approaching deadline set by the kidappers of a American journalist jill Carroll, abducted Jun, in Rughalad, Carroll, abducted Jun, and State State and State State State State State State and State State State State State State and State State State State State State State and State Sta	porters the government had one interior Ministry armored brigade ready to now in case of a new outbeed of vidence around the capital. In the second second second second widence around the second second brief of the second second second widence around second second brief of the second second second brief of the second s	lock rat		town
	ers slammed into Bajhdari's Shi- ite slam, Sad' Crij, kiling, there people, Including a child, and um the slam strategies and the slam transformer slam strategies and slam transformer slam strategies and arabiya television correspondent Atware Bahjari were killed and four other people were would and the slam strategies and slam slam wettern: Baghdar, Bahjar was slain Wednesday along with two colleagues after covering the Sa- mira Labrine bombing. The futured procession was carrying her coffin near the home of Harth al-Datri, head of the Association of Missilm Scholars, a promisent Sant, head of the Association of Missilm Scholars, a promisent Sant, head of the baboting, police said.	Our Service 7in Sunday Wednes Starr Paor weidig Acado	ves Have Changed Service • 2 p.m. day Class • 7 p.m. day Class • 7 p.m. in an interfecture of God through Agamic in an interfecture in an interfecture in an interfecture in an interfecture in a fictor? Cal Denry & Lauro Cooper 284-060	AND DON'T FORGET AND DON'T FORGET Bag Store and the Bag Store and t	TO SAY GOODBYE TO cing our "Rate Lock" for Equity Ac torme equity increwith a huid – a specie on to lock or andrew year after that, nd access to cash for home projects. I to take care of note, index time, when you get an equity inn "You can go to plositis line Higher High- To Charl Marketore you go, we'll ma I OND ATM terms in you will and I OND Marketore St 747-75155 Michael and TO-3255 Michael Bill Wildell Withing 10/3255 Michael Bill Wildell	ONB ATM FEES. ¹ cess Lines. 1, box locked rate for a year, plos. Work of singr rates and relax with dot consolidation or whatever you'll receive a 2-night, 3-day Barson on Chemin - 41 restang the getting your money easy by in transition of the single statement SALL BANK MALL BANK and 1001 E McGalmer 247-2520 107-2690 ne 707-2516-
JNDAY bruary 26	At least 21 other people died in small-scale shootings and bomb- ings in Baghdad and western areas of the city, according to police and hospital reports. Guanmen also shot at two San- lad, Satur- ko rockets se late Fri-	HERITAGE APOSTOL Pastor: Michael Perdue www.heitogegoodolc.org	IC TABERNACLE 120 South Walnut SI. Muncle, IN 47302 289-540	The LP barge enditivation modules to these as part and should be associated as a single strength to the strength of the strength oscilet the strength of the strength oscilet the strength os	In the level rule TLERO Renge TLEGAT (and the case were of large CR - Statistical and mith, shown a planar temp resonance of an endown measures in the statistical and the statistical and the statistical and the statistical temp resonance of an endown measures and the statistical temp resonance of the statistical and the statistical planar and the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance on the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance and a statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance and the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance and the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance and the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of temp resonance of temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of temp resonance of temp resonance of the statistical temp resonance of temp resonance of temp resonance of temp resonance of temp resonance of temp resonance of temp resonance o	with per two statistically. The number is the ansatz of $M^{(0)}$ (seven is an of 2000 K, and a statistic at any and the statistical and any and the statistical and any and the statistical and the statistical



Appendix L





Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendix L

QUESTIONS Direct them to Larry Shores. editorial page editor, at 213-5854.

www.thestarpress.com Sunday, March 5, 2006 * 58

EDITORIALS

Reservoir plan needs

plenty of public input

ON THE WEB Click the Opinion button on The Star Press home page, www.thestarpress.com

OUR VIEW

Citizens who care about Prairie

Creek Reservoir should provide

their views and suggestions to

YOUR VIEW

Reader submissions may be

published or distributed in print,

PO Box 2408 Muncie 47307-0408

fax to 213-5858, or e-mail to

letters@muncie.gannett.com.

ectronic or other forms. Send to

survey-takers.

How you can participate

There are two ways to complete the Prairie Creek master plan questionmaire: 🛰

Obtain a survey form at the planning commission office in the Delaware County Building. Do the survey online by going to www.co.delaware.in.us/Watershed/

₩ PC_master_plan.htm -

DELAWARE COUNTY RESIDENTS have received an offer they shouldn't refuse - that is, to participate in a survey about Prairie Creek Reservoir. And the more surveys that are completed. the more the public's views can be represented in a new comprehensive plan for the reservoir area.

The long-delayed master plan should depend heavily on public input. And on the need to preserve and protect Prairie Creek's environment and ecology. As Indiana's 20th largest

lake, Prairie Creek is generally ranked as one of the community's greatest assets. Its recreational opportunities are considerable, yet its potential might far exceed its current status and use. But only if its ecology is preserved.

This is where the public comes in. By telling officials what they think of reservoir policy, facilities, upkeep and security, citizens can help guarantee a more broadbased plan that responds to needs and provides solutions

SURVEY ANSWERS are already arriving, and they are interesting. Early returns spotlight the park's obvious positives, but also suggest some weaknesses.

Respondents have criticized the "lack of law enforcement," claiming that Prairie Creek is "not family friendly," that too much "drinking, rowdiness, partying and drug use" are present

and that the campground "resembles a trailer park." Concerns have also been expressed about the lake's lack of game fish and the park's lack of hiking trails. One respondent provided a litany of Prairie Creek needs, including a petting zoo and a restaurant and shops overlooking the lake.

Several users believe the continued construction of housing around the 1,252-acre lake could threaten swimming, boating, fishing, water quality and wildlife.

So far, no significant waterfront development has been allowed, but one survey question asks if that policy should con-

ANOTHER QUESTION ASKS whether the city should buy the reservoir from Indiana-American Water Co., which uses Prairie Creek as a backup water supply for the Muncie area. The company leases the reservoir to the city, an agreement that expires in 2021.

Now is an opportune time to prepare a master plan for Prairie Creek's future. Good planning anticipates change, and should not be done in a crisis situation (which is hardly the case now). The project is being directed by the city-county planning

commission and a 16-member steering committee. Besides its stated goals, the panel should conduct extensive interviews with city and water company officials concerning their plans and expectations. The fee structure for reservoir uses should be studied, along with the always-present policing and campgrounds

The steering committee contains an impressive mix of profes-sionals and officials — many with wide knowledge of Prairie Creek and its history. As a group, they should be able to plan effectively to protect the reservoir's ecological health and sustain and improve user opportunities

Their work will be expedited if a large number of knowledgeable citizens who care about Prairie Creek take part in the survev.

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

ALC: I

Appendix L





Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Appendix L

"I was able to have my input, and others were as well, includ-ing farmers," he said. LeBlane suggests construct-ing wetlands on the reservoir's bays and inlets to treat water "animum inc. he reservoir and

preen color." Wethands, which are known as "nature's kidneys" and "na-ture's nursery," would trap and treat nuriteris flowing into the reservoir such as agricul-tural fertilizer, human waste from fuling and illegal septic systems, and livestock waste. Wildlife waste also enters the reservoir.

stewater) into the rese ause the leach fields a se proximity to the

Water samples are coll-at the beach every weel-ing swimming season, samples have never fa-meet state standards fo-

Page designer: Elizabeth Richman, 213-5856

Walker faces murder charges

633 S. H Stree

WEAT CO-

e first had to determi

CABINET FACTORIES OUTLET

STORE HOURS

es. 8-7; Wed. thru Fri. 8-5; Sat. 8 Thursday's ONLY—Cabinet Doors For Sale 95-910 Each



AVERAGE STOCK OF 3000

UALITY NAME BRAND CABINETS AT OUTLET PRICES





Top



ter's FREE LASIK SEMIN/ June 29th at 6:00 PM!

286-8888 200 N. TILLOTS





LIFEGUARD BRANDON HENRY keeps watch over a small group of swimmers as boaters float by Tuesday afternoon at Prairie Creek Reservoir.

By SETH SLABAUGH @muncie.gannett.com

The future of Prairie Creek Reservoir as envisioned by a master plan steering committee includes forested perimeter

roads, hiking trails along the wild west bank, and wetlands by the bays and inlets to protect water quality and enhance habitat for waterfowl and fish reproduction.

The committee also recommends the creation of a non-profit organization to defend the reservoi

"The public is interested in seeing it continue as a park, maintaining water quality, and maintaining it in a natural state," said Fred Daniel, a geographic information systems planner for the city-county planning commission. "There is not a great deal of support for

What's next

A public hearing on the draft recommendations will be conducted in late July, tentatively at Wapahani High School, The exact date and time will be announced. After comments from the public hearing are incorporated, the draft plan will be presented to the city-county planning commission, county commissioners, city council, the city park board, and the county soil and water conservation district for adoption.

Inside

> The list of recommendations and the results of the pubic opionion poll | 6A

On the Web > Learn more at www.co.delaware.in.us/ watershed/pc_masterplan

developing it or abandoning it as a public resource.

The planning commission conducted a public opinion poll in February to help the steering committee. Virtually all of the 209 randomly selected respondents said water quality was important. (One said it wasn't important, and four said they didn't know if it was important). The vast majority do not want to see the development of waterfront housing. And the majority want the reservoir to either remain like it is or to become more naturalized

One reason for the shortage of support for more housing and commercial development near the reservoir is the lack of sanitary sewers, Daniel said.

➤ See WATER, 6A

"The public is interested in seeing it continue as a park, maintaining water quality, and maintaining it in a natural state. There is not a great deal of support for developing it or abandoning it as a public resource."

Fred Daniel, a geographic information systems planner for the city-county planning commission

6A • Thursday, June 15, 2006 www.thestarpress.com

Water company owns reservoir

Continued from 1A

"What we need to do as much as anything market what's there inside the ring (perimeter roads)," said Realtor Jan Van Matre-Reed, a member of the steering committee. "The general public has no idea what goes on out there."

Much of the area outside the perimeter roads was sold off years ago by Indiana-American Water Co., and it has been developed into large-lot exurban housing, she noted.

The water company owns the 3.3-mile-long, 1,252-acre reservoir, which it leases, along with 1,100 acres of natural and park area surrounding it, to the city of Muncie. The reservoir is used for sailboating, pontoon boating, fishing, swimming, camping, off-road motorcycle riding, horseback riding, wind surfing, model boating, dog running and wildlife habitat.

One idea that could boost the local economy is to improve motor-vehicle access to the reservoir and stage more events like the Fourth of July celebration, Daniel said.

"There has been discussion about having a more direct route to the reservoir off of (U.S.) 35 and selling it as a regional asset," he said. "But you would need a more handy way of getting people there than a meandering country-road route.

The steering committee is still in the middle of the planning process.

"These are just ideas, scenarios," Daniel said. "We expect some of the ideas to meet with opposition. We are kind of anticipating that there will be conflicts.'

Daniel said there was support among committee members for the city and/or county to buy the leased area if the lease is

pany. The lease expires in 2021, was one of those invited by he said. the steering committee to par-Dave LeBlanc, a Ball State ticipate in a focus group disnot extended by the water com- University biology professor, cussion.

"I just bought a kayak a week ago and have been on the reservoir with it twice already. The water is pretty high in algae content, which gives the reservoir that soupy green color." THURSDAY Dave LeBlanc, a Ball State University biology professor June 15, 2006

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

Reservoir opinion poll

More naturalized, 106.

More agricultural, 25.

More commercialized, 19.

No change, 59

Don't know, 20.

More residential, 14

Less naturalized, 3

it is now.

(Responses)

Agree, 113.

Agree, 122.

Disagree, 46.

Don't' know, 33.

along the reservoir.

■ Agree, 18.

Disagree, 165.

Don't know, 24.

professor, Ball State.

Jan Van Matre-Reed, Realtor,

Disagree, 50.

Don't know, 29.

The Muncie-Delaware Metropolitan Planning Commission in February interviewed 209 randomly selected Delaware County residents regarding the future of Prairie Creek Reservoir. The poll was conducted by mail. Twentyfive questions were asked, including the following

Would you like to see the area surrounding the reservoir change its character to become any of the following?

The reservoir and surrounding area should be kept just the way

The city of Muncie should buy the area surrounding the reservoir that is currently owned by Indiana-American Water Co. to provide more public open space and/or parks for the community.

I would like to see waterfront lots available for sale to homebuilders

Prairie Creek master plan steering committee

Don Black, county soil and water conservation distric Ron Bonham, reservoir superintendent. Angie Brown, White River Watershed Project. Dave Clamme, county extension educator. Charles Conwell, property owner, farmer. Jim Craig, Liberty-Perry school corporation. Jon Creek, environmental activist. Michael Denton, county engineer Dave Ferguson, Center for Media Design, landscape architectural Channette Harris, Dry Dock Marina.

Rich Huyck, Bureau of Water Quality, Muncie Sanitary District. I Marta Moody, city-county planning commission. I Jarka Popovicova, natural resources professor, Ball State.

Dave Wallace, property owner, attorney. Josh Williams, county health department.

"I was able to have my input, and others were as well, including farmers," he said.

LeBlanc suggests constructing wetlands on the reservoir's bays and inlets to treat water draining into the reservoir and to provide habitat for fish and waterfowl.

"I just bought a kayak a week ago and have been on the reservoir with it twice already," LeBlanc said. "The water is pretty high in algae content, which gives the reservoir that soupy green color.

Wetlands, which are known as "nature's kidneys" and "nature's nursery," would trap and treat nutrients flowing into the reservoir such as agricultural fertilizer, human waste from failing and illegal septic systems, and livestock waste. Wildlife waste also enters the reservoir.

Nutrients contribute to algae growth, which can lead to a reduction in the water's oxygen content.

Because of all the nutrient pollution, "I'm not ever going to take my kids to swim in the beach," LeBlanc said.

"All of this agricultural land around the reservoir is crisscrossed with drainage tile, and it's very hard to find a house not within a short distance of a drainage tile. Virtually every house surrounding the reservoir is probably straight-piping (wastewater) into the reservoir because the leach fields are in close proximity to the drain tiles.

Water samples are collected at the beach every week during swimming season. Those samples have never failed to meet state standards for recreational waters.

"In general, water quality at the reservoir is pretty high," said Hugh Brown, chairman of the natural resources and environmental management department at Ball State. "But it won't stay high if it's not protected."

Contact news reporter

Seth Slabaugh at 213-5834.

"I just bought a kayak a week ago and have been on the reservoir with it twice already. The water is pretty high in algae content, which gives the reservoir that soupy green color."

Dave LeBlanc, a Ball State University biology professor

Recommendations for Praire Creek Reservoir

The Prairie Creek master plan steering committee is considering various recommendations – made by members of the committee and focus groups - including the following:

The land surrounding the reservoir inside the perimeter roads should be rezoned from farming to recreation and conservation.

There should be more marketing of the reservoir to make it a regional destination, including a park brochure perimeter roads. and more event attractions.

Adding hiking trails on the west side as well as other improvements, such as cabins, a facility for overnight stay, or educational center, could make the park more appealing. The west side should be devoted to passive recreation, the east side to active recreation.

Given the campgrounds, pontoon piers, playgrounds and swimming area on the east side, the only opportunity for further development inside the perimeter roads would involve the west bank. However, development of the west bank would be inconsistent with the desire to keep that area "natural" and would impair the appeal of the reservoir.

The city should either extend its lease of the reservoir (it expires in 2021) from Indiana-American Water Co., or the city and/or county should purchase the property.

THURSDAY

Access to the reservoir from U.S. 35 should be improved if the reservoir will host many large events. Restore native vegetation to

enhance the natural character of the reservoir. Re-forest corridors along

A management plan should be imposed on the all-terrain-vehicle course, including installation of vegetative buffer strips on the shoreline to mitigate sediment loading and erosion caused by the extensive use of the course. The city and county also should consider alternative areas for an ATV site.

A non-profit organization should be created to gather resources to help defend the reservoir and the long-term transition envisioned by the master plan.

A regional wastewater district should be established to regulate wastewater treatment in the reservoir's watershed and to collect taxes to improve treatment technologies.

If development pressure continues to increase, the Muncie Sanitary District should extend sewer lines to the reservoir.

Construct wetlands along the

bays and inlets of the reservoir to mitigate septic and agricultural runoff and enhance habitat for waterfowl and fish reproduction.

Install natural, vegetated buffer strips along every drainage ditch near the reservoir to reduce sediment and nutrient loading from agricultural runoff.



SEEING YO

MEET PAU June 29, 2

ON THE WEB Most people want the

YOUR VIEW

4R

- SUNDAY June 18, 2006

EDITORIALS

Plan for Prairie Creek

needs proper execution

The Star Press | Founded 1899

WWWTHESTARPRESS.COM.

reservoir kept the wa it is now - as oppos lots available for

Immigration issue helps the GOP - for now



Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

June 15, 2006 king for great rates and a secure place to grow

Good Neighbor.

GREAT RATES.

Appendix L



PUBLIC LETTER BOX

0

frong reasoni TYLER BROWN

How to contact members of Congress

Russell Senate Office Bidg. 40, Suite 2 Washington, D.C. 20510-(902) 224-5623

Muncie office, 220 E. Main St

I am now 73, and over the rs. I have found that it is best condemn someone until ow the whole story.

Celebrating graduation MOLLY HABICH ,1210 W. North St.

d the outcome of her ork. Hats off to you, gra

It's not about safety

as if I am in Nat

EDITORIALS Plan for Prairie Creek needs proper execution

OUR VIEW Now that a master-plan committee has done its work, local officials need to sustain the effort

YOUR VIEW Reader submissions may be published or distributed in print electronic or other forms. Send t P.O. Box 2408, Muncie 47307-0408 fax to 213-5858, or e-mail to letters@muncie.gannett.com

> QUESTIONS Direct them to Larry Shores editorial page edito at 213-5854.

> > ON THE WEB

Click on Opinion or The Star Press home page www.thestarpress.com: Also, click on Forums to discuss issues of the day with editorial board member Kathleen Scott.

Most people want the reservoir kept the way it is now - as opposed to making waterfront lots available for homes.

ALTHOUGH Delawar County is years late in deve oping a master plan for Prairi Creek Reservoir, recent work toward that objective is encouraging and exciting. It also - and leadership - from loca officials and citizens

The recent change-agent has been a master plan stee ing committee that carefully researched its subject, gath ered much input from the community and mapped out proposal for Prairie Creek tha is easily capable of sustaining and improving that valuable

ship is heavy on profession-als, many with above-average interest in seeing the reservoir properly upgraded and protected. Their plan for the sture reflects a panel that has been serious in its approach and conscientious in its rec-

Its plan is carefully balanced between protecting the reser voir environment and helping Prairie Creek better realize its potential for serving area esidents.

HIGHLIGHTS of the

report are many, but these recommendations seem particularly noteworth

· Better marketing of and access to the reservoir would increase its use and value to the community. Staging more events like the Fourth of July celebration is suggested, along with making it easie for users to get there. Better access from U.S. 35 was highly recom

menaed. Adding hiking trails on the west side, as well as cabins, a facility for overnight stay, or educational center could make the park more appealing. The west side should be devoted to passive recreation, the eastside (swimmilif and pointion areas) to active

The city should either extend its lease of the reservoir (it expires in 2021) from Indiana-American Water Co., or the city and or

county should purchase the property. * A regional wastewater district should be established to regu-late wastewater treatment in the reservoir's watershed and to col-

are wastewater treatment in the reservoir's watershed and to collect taxes to improve treatment technologies.
 If private developments (and septic systems) continue to put the lake's water quality at risk, the Muncie Sanitary District should extend sever lines to the reservoir.
 Wetlands should be constructed along bays and inlets to miti-

gate septic and agricultural runoff and enhance habitat for water fowl and fish reproduction. Wetlands, commonly called nature's "kidneys," would trap and treat, nutrients such as fertilizer and hu-man waste, helping prevent water pollution and algae growth. • A non-profit organization should be created to help protect

the reservoir and implement the master plan. The latter recommendations — environmental integrity and

continuing committee — are crucial to the reservoir's future. The first could guard its environmental health, and the second could produce orderly, regulated development and increased public use.

THE STEERING COMMITTEE made excellent use of citizen input in helping it form recommendations. It is noteworthy that large majorities of the randomly selected group that was polled want the reservoir and surrounding area kept the way it is now, as opposed to making waterfront lots available to homebuilders. Water quality is important to those polled, as is continuity: responding were strong (22 to 40) that the cit's should buy the area surrounding the reservoir to provide more public open space and/or narks.

and/or parks.

The public has spoken, and so has the steering committee. Now it is up to local officials — including Muncie Mayor Dan Canan, his park board and the city-county planning commission — to lead the way in implementing the report. Their job can be made easier — and the community's stake

protected — if the working committee suggested in the report becomes a reality soon. As local officials seek panel members, they should encourage the same sort of professional diversity and expertise that marked the steering committee's membersh

There is no reason why that kind of excellence can't be su-

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

0111-1





Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

seeks public reaction	district to provide policy direc-	toon boating, fishing, swimming,	wetlands by the bays and inlets to
to a draft plan for	tion on the physical development	camping, off-road motorcycle rid-	protect water quality and enhance
Prairie Creek Reservoir.	"If you are interested in what's	ing, dog running, wildlife habitat	production.
	going on with the master plan, if	and other activities. It is also a	The planning commission
By SETH SLABAUGH	you have some comments you'd	backup water supply for the city	conducted a public opinion poll
setns@muncle.gannett.com	the meeting, said Fred Daniel, a	of Muncie. Planners will set up informa-	in rebruary to help the steer- ing committee. Virtually all of
SELMA — The public is invited	geographic information systems	tion stations at the high school to	the 209 randomly selected re-
to comment on the draft master	planner for the planning commis-	·help explain the project. Planners	spondents said water quality was
plan for Prairie Creek Reservoir	sion. "If you want to participate	also will be on hand to answer	important. The vast majority did
during a meeting on Tuesday at	in the local government planning	questions and to hand out com-	not want to see the development
Wapahani High School. The doors	process, you should go. It's kind of	ment cards.	of waterfront housing. And the
will open at 6 p.m.	like voting. If you are concerned	"It's more of an informal, open-	majority wanted to see the reser-
The plan is a document that	about water quality or recreation-	house format," Daniels said. "It's	voir remain like it is or to become
would be approved by the city-	al facilities or you live in the wa-	not a speaker-audience format."	more naturalized.
county planning commission, city	tershed, you should attend."	The future of the reservoir as	Contact news reporter
council, county commissioners,	The 1,252-acre reservoir is the	envisioned by the master plan	Seth Slabaugh at 213-5834.
INSIDE	WHAT DO YOU THINK?		RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS QUESTION: Should bingo
Build and a state of a state from the state of the state	ALL AND ADDINE AND A DEPARTMENT OF A DEPARTMENT	Dails de adie to allow smoking as drivate cluds /	(ing as drivate cluds /

ALC: I

seel

Appendix L

S





Should it remain wild and natural or become a beach, campground or park?

By SETH SLABAUGH seths@muncie.gannett.com

SELMA - A public meeting on the proposed master plan for Prairie Creek Reservoir on Tuesday night seemed to confirm the findings of a public opinion poll conducted in February."

"My first impression is, people don't want anything done at all," said Fred Daniel, a geographic information systems planner for the Delaware-Muncie Metropolitan Planning Commission. "Which is OK. Preserving what's there is one option."

The vast majority of those polled in February did not want to see the development of waterfront housing, and the majority wanted to see the reservoir remain as is or become more naturalized.

About 130 people attended the meeting - 30 more than Daniel's office anticipated. Only 100 public-comment forms were available. Officials said they would who didn't get one.

Daniel received many comments Tuesday night about the future of the reservoir's west bank, which remains mostly undeveloped and in a natural state.

"What to do or not to do with the west bank seemed to be controversial," he said.

Suggestions on the future of that area included making it more like the east bank: creating a beach, adding a primitive camping ground, and developing protection.

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007



Appendix L

Future of Prairie Creek Reservoir's west bank at issue

a park. Others want hiking trails in that area, and some want it to become more accessible to fishing.

"Some want it left the way it is, and some want more stuff over there," Daniel said.

"I'm all for keeping it the way it is, and don't much care for more development," Larry Bledsoe Jr., the Democratic nominee for third-district county commissioner, said of the reservoir in general. He attended the meeting.

Incumbent third-district Commissioner Larry Crouch, a Republican who was not at the meeting, also said he would like to see the reservoir remain "more or less the way it is," with the possible addition of trails and 'more wilderness."

"We'd like to see more recreational activity as opposed to more homes," Carolyn Hughes, who lives near the reservoir, told The Star Press.

"It's nice like it is," said Kelly Rice, who lives near the reservoir.

"I hope it stays more natural," said Marsha Hammond, co-owner of R&M Bait and Tackle. "I'd like to see it stay as something mail forms to those in attendance all our kids and grandkids can enjoy.'

> "We don't want another-Royerton, that's for sure," said reservoir resident Sam Poor, referring to the sanitary sewer fiasco that stemmed from the failure of septic systems in Hamilton Township.

"Nature sells," said Doug Spence of Spence Restoration Nursery, who believes the reservoir could become a Midwestern model for watershed

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

018 -1







OUR VIEW Preservation, not expansion, looks to best fit Prairie Creek's future.

YOUR VIEW

Reader submissions may be published or distributed in print, electronic or other forms. Send to PO Box 2408, Muncie 47307-0408; fax to 213-5858, or e-mail to letters@muncie.gannett.com.

QUESTIONS Direct them to Larry Shores, editorial page editor, at 213-5854.

ON THE WEB Click on Opinion on The Star Press home page, www.thestarpress.com. Also click on Forums to discuss issues of the day with editorial board member Kathleen Scott.

or become more naturalized. The poll rejected development of waterfront housing and other improvements for Prairie Creek's west bank, which is largely undeveloped and in a natural state.

hiking trails.

Several who are interested in the reservoir have expressed opposition to more homes in the area. They probably realize how homes would encroach on the area's environment/ecology, and how development normally encourages government to extend expensive infrastructure (roads, bridges and sewers) to the Prairie Creek area. There is another huge reason why a stand-pat policy fits Prai-

rie Creek.

Local government, with its declining or stagnant population/ tax base, is hardly in a financial position to develop the reservoir or extend-improvements. The expense is far too great. "It's nice like it is," said Kelly Rice, who lives near the reservoir

and attended last week's planning session.

ern model for watershed protection. Those like Spence understand that protecting and preserving this unique resource will maximize benefits for the entire com-

munity. Protecting Prairie Creek looks to be the will of the public and the policy that best predicts a healthy future for the reservoir.

Prairie Creek Master Plan 2007

THURSDAY

Appendix L

EDITORIALS No-growth policy will protect Prairie Creek

FACED WITH A DE-CISION on growth or nogrowth policies, government - in its leadership capacity - often chooses the former (aggressive) path. In the case of more development for Prairie Creek Reservoir, this appears to be ill-advised.

Another indication that Prairie Creek needs preservation, not expansion, came last week when an overflow crowd attended a public hearing on the proposed master plan for the reservoir. The strong consensus was that "people don't want anything done at all," said Fred Daniel, who is helping the city-county planning office construct the plan.

This consensus mirrored opinions gathered in an earlier poll about the reservoir. That verdict was that Prairie Creek should remain as is,

SUGGESTIONS FOR THE WEST BANK had included creating a beach and/or camping ground and developing a park and

"Nature sells," said Doug Spence, who owns a local restoration nursery and who believes Prairie Creek could become a Midwest-





The Prairie Creek Master Plan has been a joint effort between Delaware-Muncie Metropolitan Plan Commission රං

Delaware County Soil and Water Conservation District

