

**DELAWARE-MUNCIE METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
NOVEMBER - 2025 REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING
AGENDA - REVISED**

DATE: November 20, 2025

**PLACE: Commissioners Court Room
Delaware County Building, Rm 300A
100 W. Main St., Muncie, IN 47305**

TIME: 6:00 P.M.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

ROLL CALL:

	<u>Board Member:</u>	<u>Appointed By:</u>	<u>Term:</u>
Chairperson	Leslie Mathewson	County Commissioners	01/01/2022 to 12/31/2025
Vice-Chair	Delaney Fritch	Mayor of Muncie	01/01/2025 to 12/31/2028
	Matt Billington	County Commissioners	05/23/2024 to 12/31/2027
	Ellen Brannon	Mayor of Muncie	01/01/2023 to 12/31/2026
	Richard Ivy	Mayor of Muncie	09/04/2025 to 12/31/2027
	Sue Kaiser	Mayor of Muncie	01/01/2023 to 12/31/2026
	Kristi Knapp	County Commissioners	02/01/2025 to 12/31/2025

MINUTES: Consideration of the September, 2025 monthly meeting minutes and the October, 2025 regular monthly meeting minutes.

NEW BUSINESS:

BZA 55-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Paula and Chad Hofstetter**, 10608 North Colby Avenue, Muncie, Indiana, requesting a variance of use from the terms of the Delaware County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow keeping poultry in a residence zone and to have a sign advertising "fresh eggs". Additionally, the applicant is requesting to have a second dwelling/guest house, and for the second dwelling to have reduced square footage, all on premises located at 10608 North Colby Avenue, Hamilton Township, Delaware County, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

BZA 56-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Andrew Collins**, 6320 North County Road 600 West Gaston, Indiana, requesting variances from the terms of the Delaware County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow increased height for an accessory structure and to allow accessory structures total square footage to be to be greater than that of the dwelling, all for a new pole barn in a residence zone, on premises located at 6320 North County Road 600 West, Harrison Township, Delaware County, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

BZA 57-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting variances from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback and to allow a reduced side street setback, all for a newly built dwelling on a corner lot in a residence zone, on premises located at 401 East 8th Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

BZA 58-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting a variance from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback for a newly built dwelling, in a residence zone, on premises located at 409 East 8th Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

BZA 59-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting a variance from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback for a newly built dwelling, in a residence zone, on premises located at 1107 East 7th Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

BZA 60-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting a variance from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback for a newly built dwelling, in a residence zone, on premises located at 1204 South Franklin Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

BZA 61-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting a variance from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback for a newly built dwelling, in a residence zone, on premises located at 1711 South Madison Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

BZA 62-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting variances from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow for a decreased front setback, and to allow a reduced side street setback, all for a newly built dwelling, on a corner lot in a residence zone, on premises located at 125 East 8th Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

OTHER BUSINESS:

RULES OF PROCEDURE: Consideration of the updated rules and procedures for the Board of Zoning Appeals.

REPORT FROM DIRECTOR:

ADJOURNMENT:

**DELAWARE-MUNCIE METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
NOVEMBER - 2025 REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING
MINUTES**

The Delaware-Muncie Metropolitan Plan Commission held its regular monthly meeting on Thursday, November 20, 2025 at 6:00 PM., in the Commissioner's Court Room (room 309A) of the Delaware County Building, Muncie, Indiana. Chairperson Leslie Mathewson called the meeting to order.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

ROLL CALL:

Ms. Swackhamer called roll and the following members were present: Mr. Billington, Mr. Ivy, Ms. Knapp, and Ms. Mathewson. Absent: Ms. Brannon, Ms. Fritch, and Ms. Kaiser. Also present: Mr. Wiseley, attorney for the Board.

MINUTES:

Ms. Mathewson stated that the minutes for the September 2025 and October 2025 regular meetings would be tabled until the next regular meeting.

Ms. Mathewson stated that for new business, the applicant would be asked to discuss their request and that those wishing to speak in support and then in opposition would also have an opportunity to speak and that she would ask that everyone be respectful. She stated that in order for a case to have official action, there should be a vote of 4 members to vote to approve or deny a request. She stated that with 4 members present tonight, any request not receiving a unanimous vote would be automatically continued to the next regular meeting until official action was made. She stated that Muncie City View Homes was the applicant for several cases, all with a similar request, and asked if the Board would be agreeable to hear those cases all at one time.

Ms. Knapp made a motion to combine the Muncie City View Homes II, LLC cases to be heard and voted on at one time. Mr. Billington seconded the motion. Voting in favor: Mr. Billington, Mr. Ivy, Ms. Knapp, and Ms. Mathewson. Voting against: None. Motion carried.

Ms. Mathewson stated that the first City View Homes II, LLC case was the 3rd item on the agenda at this time, and asked if the Board would approve moving their items to be the 2nd matter on the agenda.

Ms. Knapp made a motion to amend the agenda to have Muncie City View Homes II, LLC cases be 2nd under New Business. Mr. Ivy seconded the motion. Voting in favor: Mr. Billington, Mr. Ivy, Ms. Knapp, and Ms. Mathewson. Voting against: None. Motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS:

BZA 55-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Paula and Chad Hofstetter**, 10608 North Colby Avenue, Muncie, Indiana, requesting a variance of use from the terms of the Delaware County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow keeping poultry in a residence zone and to have a sign advertising "fresh eggs". Additionally, the applicant is requesting to have a second dwelling/guest house, and for the second dwelling to have reduced square footage, all on premises located at 10608 North Colby Avenue, Hamilton Township, Delaware County, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

Paula Hofstetter, 10608 N Colby Ave., Muncie, Indiana, appeared. She stated that they had been working on a few projects over the past few years and did not know that they needed variances or even permits for some of those items. She stated that an electrician came to give them a quote on one of the projects and he was the one who let them know they would be needing permits. She stated that the 5' x 5' mini shed was used for storage of pet supplies and some of the smaller gardening tools. She stated that the larger shed was 17 1/2' x 7 1/3' and was intended to be used for the riding lawn mower and its trailer, weed eaters, and other items used for the yard and a guest house. She stated that Mr. Fouch visited the property and stated that the structure could not be used for living quarters since it did not have the correct type of foundation. She stated that Mr. Fouch did state that the structure could be used for storage and that he would forward a letter to the Board. She stated that they would install a mini-spilt in that building and it would allow her son to enjoy being outside but by being in a temperature-controlled space that met his medical needs. She stated that they have had the chickens, 2 ducks, and 1 turkey for over 2 years and had installed a covered space for them with open sides with a portion being where they had their grill and outdoor furniture. She stated that they were also asking for the signs advertising when they had fresh eggs available.

Ms. Mathewson asked if they planned to keep all 53 birds or would they be increasing or decreasing that number.

Ms. Hofstetter stated that they were steadily decreasing the number and that at one point she would admit that they had over 100 due to having so many male chickens. She stated that she had homes lined up for them, but those did not work out so she had to find other homes. She stated that of the 50 chickens, 38 of them were mini or micro chickens.

Ms. Mathewson asked how often they had an over production of eggs that they were wishing to sell.

Ms. Hofstetter stated that it was mostly in the summertime since in the winter there was less daylight, and that it would maybe only be 3 times per week.

Ms. Swackhamer stated that the current request was just to offer eggs when available but not to sell them.

Ms. Hofstetter stated that the sign says fresh eggs available.

Ms. Mathewson stated that they had several letters of support from neighbors and asked if any of the neighbors had ever expressed any concerns.

Ms. Hofstetter stated no. She stated that the chickens were safe for her son and that when they got the first one, they had approached their nearest neighbor who was very supportive. She stated that they now had roosters and she had asked the neighbors again if they had concerns and they indicated that they were not bothered by them at all.

Ms. Knapp asked if the property was outside of the city limits.

Ms. Hofstetter stated yes, it was the neighborhood across the street from Gardens of Memory on State Road 3.

Mr. Billington asked if 53 would be the maximum number of chickens.

Ms. Hofstetter stated yes. She stated that since they were miniature and micro they were very fragile and that the numbers were decreasing.

No one appeared in opposition.

Ms. Swackhamer stated that in addition to the letters provided in the packet, the Board had additional letters of support from Blake and Whitney Brownlee and Dave and Cheryl Mathews. She stated that Mr. Fouch had provided a letter regarding his visit to the property and that he would not support the structure as living quarters but that he had discussed with Ms. Hofstetter what would need done in order for the building to be livable. She stated that Mr. Fouch had instructed Ms. Hofstetter that she would need to get permits for all the structures over 200 square feet, and that he would not pursue any fines at this time.

Mr. Billington made a motion to approve BZA 55-25 the appeal of Paula and Chad Hofstetter with the hardship as stated in the application. Mr. Ivy seconded the motion. Voting in favor: Mr. Billington, Mr. Ivy, Ms. Knapp, and Ms. Mathewson. Voting against: None. Motion carried, BZA 55-25 approved.

BZA 57-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting variances from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback and to allow a reduced side street setback, all for a newly built dwelling on a corner lot in a residence zone, on premises located at 401 East 8th Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

and,

BZA 58-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting a variance from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback for a newly built dwelling, in a residence zone, on premises located at 409 East 8th Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

and,

BZA 59-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting a variance from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback for a newly built dwelling, in a residence zone, on premises located at 1107 East 7th Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

and,

BZA 60-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting a variance from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback for a newly built dwelling, in a residence zone, on premises located at 1204 South Franklin Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

and,

BZA 61-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting a variance from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow a decreased front setback for a newly built dwelling, in a residence zone, on premises located at 1711 South Madison Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

and,

BZA 62-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Muncie City View Homes II, LLC**, 9100 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, requesting variances from the terms of the City of Muncie Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow for a decreased front setback, and to allow a reduced side street setback, all for a newly built dwelling, on a corner lot in a residence zone, on premises located at 125 East 8th Street, Muncie, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

Damien Brown, with Pivitol Housing Partners, 9100 Center Pointe Drive, Suite 210, West Chester, Ohio, appeared to represent the applicant. He stated that the variance requests were for reduced front setbacks and side setbacks.

Ms. Mathewson stated that this was due to staking out the property incorrectly and had been discovered during the surveys of the properties after the homes were built.

Mr. Brown stated that was correct.

Ms. Mathewson stated that each property had received previous variances (BZA 16-21) and that those conditions would remain in effect.

No one appeared in opposition.

Ms. Knapp made a motion to approve BZA 57-25, BZA 58-25, BZA 59-25, BZA 60-25, BZA 61-25, and BZA 62-25 the appeals of Muncie City View Homes, LLC with the hardships as stated in the applications, with the understanding that the previously approved variance conditions for BZA 16-21 would remain in effect. Mr. Ivy seconded the motion. Voting in favor: Mr. Billington, Mr. Ivy, Ms. Knapp, and Ms. Mathewson. Voting against: None. Motion carried, BZA 57-25 through BZA 62-25 approved.

BZA 56-25 Jurisdiction: Board of Zoning Appeals

Being a public hearing on the matter of an application filed by **Andrew Collins**, 6320 North County Road 600 West Gaston, Indiana, requesting variances from the terms of the Delaware County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance to allow increased height for an accessory structure and to allow accessory structures total square footage to be to be greater than that of the dwelling, all for a new pole barn in a residence zone, on premises located at 6320 North County Road 600 West, Harrison Township, Delaware County, Indiana, as more accurately described in the application.

Andrew Collins, 6320 N. CR 600W., Gaston, Indiana, appeared. He stated that the proposed addition to the existing barn would be 44' x 60' and would be 23.5' tall. He stated that he would keep his vehicles inside and that he had 4 children who would be driving at some point and that he would like to teach them to work on their cars. He stated that he planned to purchase an RV and needed the additional height for that and he wanted to keep his items inside and protected.

Ms. Mathewson asked if he planned to use the building for any business use.

Mr. Collins stated no, it would only be for personal storage.

Ms. Mathewson stated that according to the current aerial map there were several barns and sheds and that there were variances for some of those buildings. She asked if there was any way that he could do what he wanted to do in those existing buildings and not need an additional building.

Mr. Collins stated that one of the buildings was too far away from the house if he was working on projects with his kids. He stated that he had his lawnmowers and tractors in the other buildings.

Ms. Mathewson asked if he had talked with any of his neighbors.

Ms. Collins stated no.

Ms. Mathewson stated that the Board had received letters and that one of the concerns mentioned was regarding run off from rain water and asked if he had thought about how to address that if it became an issue.

Mr. Collins stated that all of the run off would be going to his property and that if it became a problem, he would install a down spout. He stated that he had never noticed any standing water in that location and saw no issues.

Mr. Ivy stated that those in opposition recognized the area as residential and that was the reason for the variances. He asked Mr. Collins if he thought some communication would resolve some of the concerns since there were so many people in opposition.

Mr. Collins stated that most of the people in opposition were present at the meeting and that he was open to hearing what they had to say.

Ms. Mathewson asked if the proposed building would be built of similar material to the existing ones.

Mr. Collins stated that it would be similar to the other buildings and that it would be painted the same color.

Mr. Ivy asked if there were other structures in the area similar to his or would this be the first one at this height.

Mr. Collins stated that he could not answer that question.

Ms. Mathewson stated that there were previous actions that had been taken regarding a structure on this property, so this would be the 3rd request.

Ms. Swackhamer stated that the previous variances were for the prior owner. She stated that BZA 32-16 was approved July 28, 2016 to allow an accessory structure to be 22.8' tall rather than 17' and to allow the square footage of accessory structures to exceed that of the dwelling. She stated that BZA 39-19 was approved on December 19, 2019 to allow the existing 40' x 60' pole barn to be located on a parcel without a dwelling in a residence zone. She stated that the purpose of the last variance was for the previous owner to split the parcel with the barn from the parcel with the house, but that was never followed through with.

Kris Dishman, 9441 W. CR 400N, Muncie, Gaston, Indiana, appeared in opposition. She stated that the request did not demonstrate a hardship for the structure. She stated that the reason for the structure was to provide enclosed parking for his elementary aged children, and that based on her knowledge of the property it was not needed as there was available space. She stated that she had been inside of the structures with the previous owner who stored his antique cars inside. She stated that there were 6 cars, an RV, and fire truck inside that building plus an additional 8 cars in another building and the 2-car attached garage. She stated that combined they had around 20 parking spaces that should be enough for Mr. Collins and his wife and children. She stated that with the number of existing enclosed structures on the property and that the proposal is based on preference not necessity, this request did not meet the criteria she asked that the Board reject the variances.

Partick Meeks, 6300 N. CR 600W, Gaston, Indiana, appeared in opposition. He stated that the new structure would cause a hardship to his property due to the property value. He stated that he recently built a new building and had gone through the proper channels with permitting and even talked to his neighbors. He stated that he was told what the ordinance standards were and he stayed within those rules, and that he had no idea why Mr. Collins would need that much parking.

Mr. Mathewson stated for the record that the Board had received several emails prior to the meeting and had been reviewed. She stated that some additional information had been submitted at the meeting to be taken into consideration.

Ms. Swackhamer stated that an email in opposition had been received from Kori Gowen and a letter from the County Building Commissioner Tom Fouch who had visited the property.

Tiffany Carrigan, 6210 N. CR 600W, Gaston, Indiana, appeared with her husband Chris in opposition. She stated that their property took on a lot of water from Mr. Collins and that he had not mowed an area of his property in 5 years due to the standing water. She stated that putting such a large structure on the property was a concern for water and drainage. She stated that they had concerns for their property values with there being 3 existing structures already.

Donald (Chris) Carrigan, 6210 N. CR 600W, Gaston, Indiana, appeared in opposition. He stated that he knew a lot of his neighbors who were all very kind and that the limited interactions he had with Mr. Collins had not been pleasant. He stated that speaks to why he maybe had not reached out to the neighbors to talk to anyone about his building. He stated that even though it was a rural area, this was the R1-Residence Zone and that the water run off would create an issue for the neighbors. He stated that there was no beautification of the area with this proposed building.

Jessica Fullbright, 6300 N. CR 600W, Gaston, Indiana, appeared in opposition. She stated that she had submitted a letter of opposition, much of which had been mentioned except for the electric fence. She stated that she had a concern that with the recent installation of the electric fence there could be a variance request for livestock.

Ms. Mathewson asked Mr. Collins about the concerns that had been mentioned and asked him to explain a little more in detail as to why he might need this building since it had been reported that there may be at least 20 parking space now.

Mr. Collins stated that he had a tractor and a lot of attachments that were big and that he currently had 4 cars stored at his father's house right now that he would like to have on his property. He stated that yes, you can park a lot of cars in those buildings, but you have to move things constantly to get to what you need. He stated that he had ~6 acres and that the building he was proposing would be far enough away that he could not see

the water causing any issues for the neighbors. He stated that he had no plans to have any livestock there.

Ms. Mathewson asked how old his children were.

Mr. Collins stated he had a 10 year old, almost 7 year old, a 4 year old, and a 3 year old.

Ms. Mathewson stated that it would be a while before they were able to drive and asked why he was building now.

Ms. Collins stated that this building would have concrete inside and that the existing pole barn did not and that he had the money to do that work now and would like to do the work now.

Ms. Mathewson stated that now would be a chance for Mr. Collins to address any other concerns that had been mentioned.

Ms. Collins stated that water would not be an issue and if there were any problems it would be on his property. He stated that he had a small wetland area which was overgrown when he bought the property, that he never saw the need to mow it. He stated that the trees were slowing down the water and that if he cleared it, the water would possibly flow through faster. He stated that he had no plans for livestock, it was just for his storage and to keep his belongings nice.

Mr. Ivy asked if there was a reason for the size.

Mr. Collins stated that was a good size to allow for the 4 overhead doors on the front so that the kids could park without moving everything for accessibility.

Mr. Billington made a motion to approve BZA 56-25 the appeal of Andrew Collins, with the hardship as stated in the application with the condition that the barn be used for personal storage only. Ms. Knapp seconded the motion. Voting in favor: Mr. Billington. Voting against: Ms. Knapp and Ms. Mathewson. Abstaining: Mr. Ivy. Motion failed, no official action, BZA 56-25 to be automatically continued to the December 18, 2025 regular monthly meeting.

RULES OF PROCEDURE:

Ms. Swackhamer stated that office staff had a few comments and that she had also received some feedback from Mr. Ivy regarding updates to the rules of procedure.

Ms. Mathewson stated that the Board may not want to take action at this time and that maybe all of the comments could be provided to the members.

Ms. Swackhamer stated that she would provide a summary of those comments to all of the members.

Ms. Mathewson stated that any additional comments should still be forwarded to Ms. Swackhamer.

REPORT FROM DIRECTOR:

Ms. Swackhamer stated that she had provided the Board with the numbers for permits, inspections, rezonings, variances, and plats for the year as of the end of October. She stated that the annual MPO conference had been held in Terre Haute this year, and that staff member Liz Wilcox had been able to attend. She stated that there were 14 MPO's in Indiana and that they take turns hosting and next year was the DMMPC's turn to host. She stated that we would be co-hosting with Anderson and Kokomo with the conference being in Kokomo. She stated that the annual APA conference was in October and that Kayla Ferguson, Fred Daniel, and Denelle Murrell were able to attend this year.

Ms. Ferguson stated that she enjoyed was learning how public spaces and parks help to spur economic growth and how quality of life and local business was affected.

Mr. Daniel stated that he would agree with Ms. Ferguson and he would add that a session he found enlightening was how streets were not just a way to get people from place to place and that every mile along the way presents opportunities for economic development.

Ms. Swackhamer stated that the Pond Ordinance had been approved by the County Commissioners and that she had provided the members with a final copy. She stated that the complete comprehensive zoning ordinance revisions were under way and that the proposals were currently being reviewed. She stated that the office had also hosted a survey training for the Plat Committee, Auditor's Office and staff and that the session was presented by Ashton Land Surveyor. She stated that the final meeting for this year was December 18, 2025 and that the 2026 calendar was ready for approval.

Mr. Ivy made a motion to approve the 2026 BZA meeting schedule. Mr. Billington seconded the motion. Ms. Swackhamer called for all those in favor to say aye, those opposed same sign. She stated that the ayes have it, motion carried, 2026 schedule approved.

Ms. Swackhamer stated that the Cardinal Greenway was hosting the annual Turkey trot walk/run on Thanksgiving morning and that pets on leashes were welcome. She stated that ECIRPD was holding a donation drive for clothing items and that second Harvest

Food Bank had food donation boxes in the County Building, and that monetary donations were also being accepted.

ADJOURNMENT:

Leslie Mathewson, Chairperson

Kylene Swackhamer, Secretary